

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
SC Docs				QEP triggered?
1. Does this case meet criteria for partial review (SC documentation and interview only)?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Yes: Case meets criteria for individual who is excluded but provider does not have any alternate individuals for review – SC documentation and SC interview required only. No: Case does not meet criteria for SC documentation and SC interview only.	Based on DBHDS requirement for SC documentation and interview to be completed for PCRs under the following criteria: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the individual did not receive services, but the provider has no alternates available hospitalized or incarcerated not currently receiving services the individual declined to participate but the provider has no alternates available 	N
2. Effective date of ISP reviewed.	Date field	The reviewer will document the effective date of the ISP reviewed.	Reviewer will enter the effective date of the most recent ISP completed.	N
3. The ISP for this review period is within 365 days of the previous ISP.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	Yes: The current ISP was completed in 365 days or less, from the date of the previous ISP completion date. The ISP must be in completed or <i>pending provider completion</i> status. No: The ISP was completed greater than 365 days OR the ISP is in <i>pending SC completion</i> status. N/A: Individual has been receiving waiver support for less than one year.	HCBS Question Reviewer must assess if the ISP reviewed was completed within 365 days of the previous ISP. Reviewer should use the effective date in WaMS to confirm timely completion.	Y admin

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
4. Is Part I of the ISP complete and thorough?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Yes: Review of the ISP Part I includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The individual's ISP meeting details, • Talents & Contributions, • Important To/For • and wants/does not want. • Information provided must be in person-centered language. <p>No: Review of the ISP Part I indicates it does NOT include all aspects of person-centered planning described in bullets, and/or does not capture how the person is best supported.</p>	<p>Part I of the ISP will be reviewed to determine if it contains adequate information for a reader to have a good idea of the individual's specific likes, preferences, and how the person is best supported. Part I must be written in person first language and address all life areas Important To and For the person (not necessarily all life areas).</p> <p>This is an all-or-nothing element, meaning if any section of Part I is not completed or does not adequately reflect the individual, reviewer must score the element No. If there is evidence a life area is Important To the individual and was omitted from Part I, reviewer must score element No.</p> <p>https://dbhds.virginia.gov/wp-content/uploads/2023/10/Life-Area-Cheat-Sheet-FINAL_newlogo-1.pdf</p>	Y admin
5. Did the individual have support from people during the development of the ISP that they wanted?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Yes: Review of the ISP Part I indicates that the individual was given the opportunity to invite preferred people to participate in the planning process.</p> <p>No: Review of the ISP Part I is not complete, or it is not clear from documentation that the individual was able to invite preferred people to participate in the planning process.</p>	<p>HCBS Question</p> <p>Reviewer will determine if the individual was able to have support from preferred people during their planning process. This should be documented in Part I of the ISP or may be documented in the annual meeting progress note.</p> <p>SDM: Substitute or Shared decision maker, including a Legal Guardian as appointed by a judge (guardianship papers shall be on file with all agencies supporting this person) OR Authorized Representative (each agency supporting this individual shall have an AR identified)</p>	Y admin

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
6. Does the ISP Part II Essential Information, Medications section include prescribed or over-the-counter medications?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<p>Yes: Review of the ISP Part II Essential Information Medication Section includes the individual's prescribed and over-the-counter medications.</p> <p>No: Review of the ISP Part II Essential Information Medication Section does not include the individual's prescribed and over-the-counter medications.</p> <p>N/A: Review of the ISP Part II identified that the individual does not have any prescribed or over-the-counter medications.</p>	<p>Reviewer will assess the MAR for the individual submitted by the provider to confirm all prescribed and over-the counter medications are included in Section II: Essential Information, Medications. The sections must be completed for the reviewer to select "Yes."</p> <p>If any part of Part II: Essential Information, Medications is incomplete and does not have documentation why it is incomplete, the reviewer will select "No."</p> <p>If the individual takes prescribed and/or over the counter medications without support from a licensed provider (i.e., individual lives alone and does not require supports for medication management OR the individual relies on natural support such as family for medication management) and no MAR is available, reviewer will score element YES if all medications are recorded in ISP.</p>	Y thorough
7. If Yes, is there documentation of medication side effect review as indicated in Part II Essential Information, Medications section of the ISP?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Yes: Review of ISP Part II section, SC progress notes, EHR or other form located in the individual's record includes the individual's medication possible side effects.</p> <p>No: Review of the ISP Part II section does not include where to locate the</p>	<p>Reviewer will determine if the potential side effects for each medication listed in Part II section of the ISP are documented as reviewed with the individual in the individual's record.</p> <p>Side effects may be documented in WaMS, in an SC progress note at the time of ISP development, printed, and included in the paper chart, in the CSB's EHR, uploaded as an attachment in WaMS, or documented in some other form that the reviewer</p>	Y thorough

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		individual's medication side effects, or review of the individual's record shows no evidence possible side effects were discussed.	is able to confirm where side effects can be located.	
8. Does the ISP Part II Essential Information, Social, Developmental, Behavioral, and Family History sections include the individual's social, developmental, behavioral, and family history?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Yes: Review of the ISP Part II includes the individual's social, developmental, behavioral, and family history.</p> <p>No: Review of the ISP Part II does not include the individual's social, developmental, behavioral, and family history.</p>	<p>Reviewer will determine if ISP Part II Essential Information, Social, Developmental, Behavioral and Family History sections, document the individual's history as appropriate.</p> <p>While reviewer will likely not be able to confirm the history narrated here, scoring should be informed by assessment of accuracy of information found in narrative, and reviewer's best judgement that it reflects individual's social/developmental/behavioral history appropriately.</p> <p>At minimum, narration must include accurate representation of trauma history, accurate representation of current living arrangements, and any social/developmental/behavioral or family history specifically tied to current ISP goals or outcomes.</p> <p>This is an all or nothing scored element, meaning any part of Section II: Social, Developmental, Behavioral and Family History is incongruent with information found in relevant assessments, the reviewer will select "No."</p>	Y thorough
9. Does the ISP Part II Essential Information Employment section include the individual's	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<p>Yes: Review of ISP Part II Employment section confirms that employment options were discussed, and</p>	<p>HCBS Question This element is applicable to individuals aged 14-65 only.</p>	Y thorough

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
employment status and assessment of barriers to employment?		<p>the individual's decision related to employment is documented.</p> <p>No: Review of ISP Part II did not confirm that employment options were discussed, and the individual's decision related to employment is not documented.</p> <p>N/A: The individual was under the age of 14 OR over the age of 65 when the ISP was developed.</p>	<p>The reviewer will determine if the SC completed all sections of ISP Part II: Employment.</p> <p>If the individual has no interest or is no awareness of employment, the conversation about employment is still REQUIRED to be conducted annually.</p>	
10. Does the ISP Part II include the individual's integrated community involvement status?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Yes: Review of the ISP Part II confirms the individual's integrated community involvement status was discussed.</p> <p>No: Review of the ISP Part II does not confirm the individual's integrated community involvement status was discussed.</p>	<p>HCBS Question</p> <p>The reviewer will determine if the SC completed all sections of ISP Part II: Integrated Community Involvement status.</p>	Y thorough
11. Does the ISP Part III Shared Planning Essential Supports section identify all high-risk health factors and potential risks for diagnoses listed in ISP Part II Physical and Health Conditions?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<p>Yes: Review of the ISP confirms that all high-risk health factors and potential risks for diagnoses, confirmed health conditions and/or chronic health conditions identified in Part II</p>	<p>Reviewer should assess all sections of ISP Part II for medical and/or behavioral diagnoses, confirmed health conditions and/or chronic health conditions, and validate all risks and potential risks associated with each diagnosis are present in ISP Part III Essential Supports Section.</p>	Y- thorough

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		<p>Physical and Health Conditions are incorporated into the ISP Part III Shared Planning section.</p> <p>No: Review of the ISP indicates there are high-risk health factors and/or potential risks associated with diagnoses or chronic health conditions documented in ISP Part II Physical and Health Conditions section that were omitted from ISP Part III Shared Planning Essential Supports.</p> <p>N/A: Individual does not have any diagnoses and/or chronic health conditions documented in ISP Part II Physical and Health Conditions OR the diagnoses and/or chronic health conditions do not have any known or potential risks.</p>	<p>This element is intended to assess if the ISP includes all risks and potential risks associated with diagnoses, health conditions, and/or chronic health conditions documented in ISP Part II Physical and Health Conditions.</p> <p>Reviewers will score Yes if review of the most recent ISP confirms ALL risks and potential risks associated with diagnoses, and confirmed or chronic health conditions, in documented in Part II Physical and Health Conditions are found in ISP Part III Shared Planning as required.</p> <p>Reviewer must score No if review of the most recent ISP does not confirm ALL risks and potential risks associated with diagnoses and/or chronic health conditions documented in ISP Part II, Physical and Health Conditions are captured properly in Part III Shared Planning, as required.</p> <p><i>Reviewer must also consider the score for element 38 and the result of any clinical review which could impact scoring for this element.</i></p> <p>This is an all-or-nothing element, meaning if any risks or potential risks associated with diagnoses and/or chronic health conditions documented, in Part II Physical and Health Conditions is omitted from Part III Shared Planning, reviewer must score element No.</p>	
12. Describe any risks or potential risks associated with diagnoses and/or chronic health conditions	Text Field		This element will open only if the previous element is scored No .	N

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
documented in the ISP Part II Physical and Health Conditions that were omitted from the ISP Part III Shared Planning Essential Supports section.			<p>Reviewer should list <i>any risk or potential risk that is not properly documented in the ISP Part III Shared Planning Section.</i></p> <p>For each risk of potential risk omitted from Part III, the reviewer must list the diagnosis AND the risk or potential risk omitted from Part III Essential Supports.</p> <p><i>For example, if Part II notes a seizure diagnosis but Part III Essential supports is missing potential risk of fall with injury, the notation here should state Seizure dx; No fall risk in Part III.</i></p>	
13. The ISP Part III Shared Planning Routine Supports section identified all medical needs found in the SIS or other relevant assessments.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<p>Yes: All medical needs identified in the SIS or other relevant assessments are addressed in the Part III Routine Supports section of the ISP.</p> <p>No: There are medical needs identified in the SIS or other relevant assessments which are NOT addressed in Part III Routine Supports section of the ISP.</p> <p>N/A: Individual has no medical needs identified in the SIS or other relevant assessments utilized to develop the ISP.</p>	<p>Reviewer will consider the most recent assessments Support Coordinators utilized to develop the ISP and confirm all medical needs documented in an assessment(s) are present in Part III Routine Supports section of the ISP.</p> <p>Most recent assessment(s) may have occurred outside of lookback period; the reviewer should consider some assessments are not completed annually.</p> <p>Possible assessments: SIS (Supports Intensity Scale)—Uploaded by the provider CRAT (Crisis Risk Assessment Tool)- Maybe in WaMS or provided by CSB (SC document) VIDES—located in WaMS Physical—Uploaded by provider or SC Dental—Uploaded by provider or SC FBA (Functional Behavioral Assessment)—may be uploaded in WaMS, by the provider, or by the SC</p>	Y thorough

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
			Review the Clinical Decision Tree for clinical referral if needed	
14. The ISP Part III Shared Planning Routine Supports section identified all behavioral needs found in the SIS or other relevant assessments.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<p>Yes: All behavioral needs identified in the SIS or other relevant assessments are addressed in the Part III Routine Supports section of the ISP.</p> <p>No: There are behavioral needs identified in the SIS or other relevant assessments which are NOT addressed in Part III Routine Supports section of the ISP.</p> <p>N/A: Individual has no behavioral needs identified in the SIS or other relevant assessments utilized to develop the ISP.</p>	<p>Reviewer will consider the most recent assessments Support Coordinators utilized to develop the ISP and confirm all behavioral needs documented in an assessment(s) are present in Part III Routine Supports section of the ISP.</p> <p>Possible assessments: SIS (Supports Intensity Scale)—Uploaded by the provider CRAT (Crisis Risk Assessment Tool)- Maybe in WaMS or provided by CSB (SC document) VIDES—located in WaMS Physical—Uploaded by provider or SC Dental—Uploaded by provider or SC FBA (Functional Behavioral Assessment)—may be uploaded in WaMS, by the provider, or by the SC</p> <p>Review the Clinical Decision Tree for clinical referral if needed</p>	Y thorough
15. Outcomes are developed in the life area of Employment as appropriate.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<p>Yes: Review of the ISP Part I indicates life area of Employment as Important To the individual, AND Part III includes Outcome in life area of Employment.</p> <p>No: Review of the ISP Part I indicates life area of Employment as Important To the individual but no Part III Outcome in life area of</p>	<p>HCBS Question</p> <p>Reviewer will confirm development of Part III Outcome in life area of Employment as appropriate. 'As appropriate' is defined as a life area documented Important To the individual in ISP Part I.</p> <p>Reviewers will review Part I Important To section of the ISP to determine if Employment is Important To the individual and score element accordingly.</p>	Y outcome developed

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		<p>Employment was developed, or there is no evidence an Employment discussion occurred.</p> <p>N/A: individual is NOT between ages 14 and 65 OR if ISP Part I OR if Part II Employment section indicates individual is not interested in seeking employment.</p>	<p>Reviewers will score element Yes if employment has been identified as Important To the individual AND an outcome has been developed.</p> <p>Reviewers will score element No if employment has been identified as Important To the individual WITHOUT development of corresponding outcome, or if there is evidence employment is Important To the individual and was omitted from Part I.</p> <p>If there are any concerns that ISP Part I is not thorough or does not accurately reflect the individuals' preferences for this life area, if or if the life is noted as Important For the individual only, or the Employment section is not complete or does not address barriers to employment, the reviewer must score element NO.</p> <p>Reviewers will score element N/A if individual is not between ages 14-64, OR if Part II Employment section indicates individual is not interested in seeking employment.</p>	
16. Outcomes are developed in the life area of Integrated Community Involvement as appropriate.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<p>Yes: Review of the ISP Part I indicates life area of Integrated Community Involvement. as Important To the individual, AND Part III includes Outcome in life area of Integrated Community Involvement.</p>	<p>HCBS Question</p> <p>Reviewer will confirm development of Part III Outcome in life area of Integrated Community Involvement as appropriate. 'As appropriate' is defined as a life area documented Important To the individual in ISP Part I.</p> <p>Reviewers will review Part I Important To section of the ISP to determine if Integrated Community Involvement is Important To the individual and score element accordingly.</p>	Y outcome developed

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		<p>No: Review of the ISP Part I indicates life area of Integrated Community Involvement as Important To the individual WITHOUT Part III Outcome in life area of Integrated Community Involvement developed.</p> <p>N/A: Individual did not identify life area of Integrated Community Involvement as Important To them in ISP Part I.</p>	<p>Reviewers will score element Yes if integrated community involvement has been identified as Important To the individual AND an outcome has been developed.</p> <p>Reviewers will score element No if integrated community involvement has been identified as Important to the individual WITHOUT development of corresponding outcome, or if there is evidence employment is Important To the individual and was omitted from Part I, or if the Integrated Community Involvement Section is not complete.</p> <p>If there are any concerns that ISP Part I is not thorough or does not accurately reflect the individuals' preferences for this life area, if or if the life is noted as Important For the individual only, the reviewer must score element NO.</p>	
17. Outcomes are developed in the life area of Community Living as appropriate.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<p>Yes: Review of the ISP Part I indicates the life area of Community Living as Important To the individual, AND Part III includes Outcome in the life area of Community Living.</p> <p>No: Review of the ISP Part I indicates life area of Community Living as Important To the individual WITHOUT Part III Outcome in life area of Community Living developed.</p>	<p>HCBS Question</p> <p>Reviewer will confirm development of Part III Outcome in life area of Community Living as appropriate. 'As appropriate' is defined as a life area documented Important To the individual in ISP Part I.</p> <p>Reviewers will review Part I Important To section of the ISP to determine if Community Living is Important To the individual and score element accordingly.</p> <p>Reviewers will score element Yes if community living has been identified as Important To the individual AND an outcome has been developed.</p>	Y outcome developed

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		N/A: Individual did not identify life area of Community Living as Important To them in ISP Part I.	Reviewers will score element No if community living has been identified as Important To the individual WITHOUT development of corresponding outcome, or if there is evidence community living is Important To the individual and was omitted from Part I. If there are any concerns that ISP Part I is not thorough or does not accurately reflect the individuals' preferences for this life area, if or if the life is noted as Important For the individual only , the reviewer must score element NO.	
18. Outcomes are developed in the life area of Safety & Security as appropriate.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<p>Yes: Review of the ISP Part I indicates life area of Safety & Security as Important To the individual, AND Part III includes Outcome in life area Safety & Security.</p> <p>No: Review of the ISP Part I indicates life area of Safety & Security as Important To the individual WITHOUT Part III Outcome in life area of Safety & Security developed.</p> <p>N/A: Individual did not identify life area of Safety & Security as Important To them in ISP Part I.</p>	<p>Reviewer will confirm development of Part III Outcome in life area of Safety & Security as appropriate. 'As appropriate' is defined as a life area documented Important To the individual in ISP Part I.</p> <p>Reviewers will review Part I Important To section of the ISP to determine if Safety & Security is Important to the individual and score element accordingly.</p> <p>Reviewers will score element Yes if community living has been identified as Important To the individual AND an outcome has been developed.</p> <p>Reviewers will score element No if safety and security has been identified as Important To the individual WITHOUT development of corresponding outcome, or if there is evidence safety and security is Important To the individual and was omitted from Part I.</p>	Y outcome developed

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
			If there are any concerns that ISP Part I is not thorough or does not accurately reflect the individuals' preferences for this life area, if or if the life is noted as Important For the individual only , the reviewer must score element No.	
19. Outcomes are developed in the life area of Healthy Living as appropriate.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<p>Yes: Review of the ISP Part I indicates life area of Healthy Living as Important To the individual, AND Part III includes Outcome in life area Healthy Living.</p> <p>No: Review of the ISP Part I indicates life area of Healthy Living as Important To the individual WITHOUT Part III Outcome in life area of Healthy Living developed.</p> <p>N/A: Individual did not identify life area of Healthy Living as Important To them in ISP Part I.</p>	<p>Reviewer will confirm development of Part III Outcome in life area of Healthy Living as appropriate. 'As appropriate' is defined as a life area documented Important To the individual in ISP Part I.</p> <p>Reviewers will review Part I Important To section of the ISP to determine if Healthy Living is Important to the individual and score element accordingly.</p> <p>Reviewers will score element Yes if healthy living has been identified as Important To the individual AND an outcome has been developed.</p> <p>Reviewers will score element No if healthy living has been identified as Important To the individual WITHOUT development of corresponding outcome, or if there is evidence healthy living is Important To the individual and was omitted from Part I.</p> <p>If there are any concerns that ISP Part I is not thorough or does not accurately reflect the individuals' preferences for this life area, if or if the life is noted as Important For the individual only, the reviewer must score element NO.</p>	Y outcome developed

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
20. Outcomes are developed in the life area of Social & Spirituality as appropriate.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<p>Yes: Review of the ISP Part I indicates life area of Social & Spirituality as Important To the individual, AND Part III includes Outcome in life area Social & Spirituality.</p> <p>No: Review of the ISP Part I indicates life area of Social & Spirituality as Important To the individual WITHOUT Part III Outcome in life area of Social & Spirituality developed</p> <p>N/A: Individual did not identify life area of Social & Spirituality as Important To them in ISP Part I.</p>	<p>Reviewer will confirm development of Part III Outcome in life area of Social & Spirituality as appropriate. 'As appropriate' is defined as a life area documented Important To the individual in ISP Part I.</p> <p>Reviewers will review Part I Important To section of the ISP to determine if Social & Spirituality is Important To the individual and score element accordingly.</p> <p>Reviewers will score element Yes if social and spirituality has been identified as Important To the individual AND an outcome has been developed.</p> <p>Reviewers will score element No if social and spirituality has been identified as Important To the individual WITHOUT development of corresponding outcome, or if there is evidence social and spirituality is Important To the individual and was omitted from Part I.</p> <p>If there are any concerns that ISP Part I is not thorough or does not accurately reflect the individuals' preferences for this life area, if or if the life is noted as Important For the individual only, the reviewer must score element No.</p>	Y outcome developed
21. Outcomes are developed in the life area of Citizenship & Advocacy as appropriate.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<p>Yes: Review of the ISP Part I indicates life area of Citizenship & Advocacy as Important To the individual, AND Part III includes Outcome in life area Citizenship & Advocacy</p>	<p>Reviewer will confirm development of Part III Outcome in life area of Citizenship & Advocacy as appropriate. 'As appropriate' is defined as a life area documented Important To the individual in ISP Part I.</p>	Y outcome developed


DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		<p>No: Review of the ISP Part I indicates life area of Citizenship & Advocacy as Important To the individual WITHOUT Part III Outcome in life area of Citizenship & Advocacy developed.</p> <p>N/A: Individual did not identify life area of Citizenship & Advocacy as Important To them in ISP Part I.</p>	<p>Reviewers will review Part I Important To section of the ISP to determine if Citizenship & Advocacy is Important to the individual and score element accordingly.</p> <p>Reviewers will score element Yes if citizenship and advocacy has been identified as Important To the individual AND an outcome has been developed.</p> <p>Reviewers will score element No if citizenship and advocacy has been identified as Important To the individual WITHOUT development of corresponding outcome, or if there is evidence citizenship and advocacy is Important To the individual and was omitted from Part I.</p> <p>If there are any concerns that ISP Part I is not thorough or does not accurately reflect the individuals' preferences for this life area, if or if the life is noted as Important For the individual only, the reviewer must score element NO.</p>	
22. Are all outcomes identified in ISP Part III linked to Part V Plan for Supports (PFS) as appropriate?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Yes: Review of documentation confirmed provider Part V includes all outcomes assigned to them in ISP Part III.</p> <p>No: Review of Part V did not confirm provider Part V includes all outcomes assigned in Part III OR Part V does not include the services and supports provider has</p>	<p>This element is intended to confirm presence of Part V PFS for each Part III Outcome as appropriate. Reviewer must confirm that each provider assigned to an outcome in Part III has uploaded a PFS for that service unless the outcome is assigned to a natural support.</p> <p>This is an all-or-nothing element, meaning if there are any Part III Outcomes that do not have corresponding PFS, reviewer must score element No.</p>	Y outcome developed

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		identified to achieve the outcomes.	Part III Outcomes and provider Part V Plan for Supports should be congruent, meaning all outcomes assigned to that provider service are included in the affiliated Part V, however this element is assessing the presence of PFS, not the quality of the PFS.	
23. Does the ISP include strategies for solving conflict or disagreement that occurs during the ISP meeting with ISP supports, outcomes, or individual decisions?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<p>Yes: ISP Part IV Team Questions section indicates team member objection and review of documentation validated that strategies for solving conflict or disagreement during ISP planning process were discussed and resolved.</p> <p>No: Review of documentation did not validate that the Support Coordinator resolved documented conflict or disagreement during the ISP planning process.</p> <p>N/A: All Team questions in ISP Part IV Agreements section are scored NO, indicating no conflict or disagreement with the process was found in the record which required resolution by Support Coordinator.</p>	<p>This element is intended to assess if the Support Coordinator appropriately documented conflict or disagreement which may occur during ISP planning, including notation of resolution or attempts at resolution.</p> <p>Reviewers must review ISP Part IV Team Questions section for indication of team member objection to outcomes or essential supports in the plan and assess if the support coordinator addressed the disagreement appropriately. Evidence of conflict or disagreement is indicated when any of the five questions in this section are YES. While some issues may not be resolvable, the expectation is the SC will attempt to resolve these objections and document those attempts in a progress note.</p> <p>To indicate “Yes” reviewer must confirm for any team member objection to outcomes or essential supports documented in Part IV Team Questions section of the ISP, appropriate Support Coordinator notation is documented, including its resolution or attempts at resolution. Resolution or attempts at resolution must be documented in progress notes.</p> <p>A No will be indicated if any Team Member Questions are scored YES without documentation</p>	Y admin



DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
			the SC attempted to resolve the objection as documented in SC progress notes. An N/A score is indicated when review of the ISP Part IV Team Questions section documents no team member objections to outcomes or essential supports in the plan.	
24. Date WaMS documentation review completed.	Date field	Reviewer will enter the date WaMS review is completed, and elements are scored.		N
25. Date Support Coordinator documentation review completed.	Date field	Reviewer will document the date all SC documentation provided through EHR or upload has been reviewed.	Reviewer should enter date all SC documentation has been reviewed, through EHR access or document upload. Date of completed SC document review should be PRIOR to conducting SC interview to allow for request of additional documents if necessary.	N
26. Date(s) of quarterly ISP review by SC during lookback.	Date field	Reviewer will enter the date(s) of ISP Quarterly review signed by the Support Coordinator during the lookback. This element will repeat to capture all review dates within the review lookback period.	Element will be repeating to capture the date(s) that the SC quarterly reports were submitted during the lookback period. Reviewers should confirm dates of quarterly review via the SC notes/documentation. Documentation may also be provided in the form of the example in the embedded document:  person-centered-review.pdf	N
27. The ISP and/or other SC documentation confirmed review of the ISP was conducted with the individual quarterly or every 90 days.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<p>A “Yes” rating is indicated when the ISP was reviewed quarterly or every 90 days.</p> <p>A “No” rating is indicated when the ISP was not reviewed quarterly or every</p>	<p>The Individual Support Plan must be reviewed every three months (at a minimum).</p> <p>A 30-day grace period to complete the person-centered review of the Individual Support Plan will be permitted. The day the person-centered review</p>	Y implementation

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes																
Source: FY 2022 and FY 2023 Community Services Performance Contract		90 days, OR if SC documentation indicates provider Quarterly Review was not submitted timely to include. A “N/A” rating is indicated if the individual has been enrolled in waiver services for less than 90 days or if the ISP effective date is February 1 or later.	<div>is actually completed does not affect the due date for the next review. For instance:</div> <table><tr><th>QR [PCR] Review Period:</th><th>Date Provider QR is due to SC:</th><th>SC QR due date in record:</th></tr><tr><td>01/01 to 03/31</td><td>04/10</td><td>04/30</td></tr><tr><td>04/01 to 06/30</td><td>07/10</td><td>07/30</td></tr><tr><td>07/01 to 09/30</td><td>10/10</td><td>10/30</td></tr><tr><td>10/01 to 12/31</td><td>01/10</td><td>01/30</td></tr></table> <div>The reviewer can utilize the date duration calculator to assist with determining the score for this element. https://www.timeanddate.com/date/duration.html</div>	QR [PCR] Review Period:	Date Provider QR is due to SC:	SC QR due date in record:	01/01 to 03/31	04/10	04/30	04/01 to 06/30	07/10	07/30	07/01 to 09/30	10/10	10/30	10/01 to 12/31	01/10	01/30	
QR [PCR] Review Period:	Date Provider QR is due to SC:	SC QR due date in record:																	
01/01 to 03/31	04/10	04/30																	
04/01 to 06/30	07/10	07/30																	
07/01 to 09/30	10/10	10/30																	
10/01 to 12/31	01/10	01/30																	
28. The ISP and/or other SC documentation supports that the individual was given a choice regarding services and supports, including the individual’s residential setting, and who provides them. Source: FY 2019 and FY 2020 Community Services Performance Contract, DOJ Settlement Agreement Joint Filing Indicator V.I.1 and V.I.2	<div><input type="checkbox"/> Yes</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> No</div>	A “Yes” rating is indicated when the following criteria are met: <ul style="list-style-type: none">◆ The ISP and/or other individual record documentation demonstrates that education materials were presented in an accommodating format for the individual and/or authorized representative or family AND <ul style="list-style-type: none">◆ The ISP and/or other individual record	<div>HCBS Question</div> <div>The reviewer will utilize the ISP and/or individual record documentation to inform this element.</div> <div>Confirmation of choice could be evidenced by completion of the confirmation statement in Part IV of the ISP: “Have I chosen all of the providers and services I receive having been informed about the benefits and risks?”</div> <div>Evidence of a signed and dated Documentation of Individual Choice Between Institutional Care Or Home And Community-Based Services DMAS Form 459C and Virginia Informed Choice form would also satisfy this element.</div>	Y choice															

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		<p>documentation demonstrates that annual education was provided about less restrictive community options to any individuals living outside their own home or family's home, or non-disability specific settings and an option for a private unit in a residential setting AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ The Virginia Informed Choice form is present. <p>A "No" rating is indicated when the following criteria are met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ The ISP and/or other individual record documentation does not demonstrate that education materials were presented in an accommodating format for the individual and/or authorized representative or family OR ◆ The ISP and/or other individual record documentation does not demonstrate that annual 	<p>Examples of the forms are provided in the embedded documents:</p> <div>  <p>DMAS-459C ID Waiver Documentatio</p> </div> <div>  <p>virginia-informed-c hoice-6.17.2020.pdf</p> </div>	

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		<p>education was provided about less restrictive community options to any individuals living outside their own home or family's home, or non-disability specific settings and an option for a private unit in a residential setting OR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ The Virginia Informed Choice form is not present. 		
29. The ISP includes signatures of the individual (or representative) and all providers responsible for its implementation.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>A "Yes" rating is indicated when the ISP is signed AND dated by the individual/representative and all providers responsible for its implementation.</p> <p>A "No" rating is indicated when the ISP is NOT signed AND dated by the individual/representative and all providers responsible for its implementation.</p>	<p>HCBS Question</p> <p>Reviewer will determine if the ISP has been signed by the individual, their authorized representative, SDM (if applicable), SC, and all providers. To indicate "Yes," there must be a current ISP in the record signed by the SC and the individual/representative and all providers. Reviewer should locate the signature in ISP Part IV (Signatures) and Part V (Signatures), or notation of signatures located in EHR.</p> <p>If there is no signature from the individual/representative, then the record must indicate that the request for a signature was made.</p>	Y process
30. Date of contact:	mm/dd/yyyy	Reviewer will enter the date of each contact with the individual/authorized rep/guardian, for the defined lookback period/evaluation timeframe.	This element will repeat to capture all dates of SC-required contact with the individual during the SC lookback period. Reviewers should document the dates of all required contacts (monthly for ECM, quarterly for TCM) at a minimum. Additional contacts that consist of a comprehensive	N

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		This element will repeat .	discussion/observation of the individual's supports and progress towards outcomes can be captured.	
31. Type of contact:	<input type="checkbox"/> Face-to-face (In person) <input type="checkbox"/> Phone <input type="checkbox"/> Video/virtual	<p>Reviewer will enter the type of each contact with the individual/authorized rep/guardian.</p> <p>Face-to-face: contact was completed face-to-face with the individual/authorized rep/guardian</p> <p>Phone: contact was completed telephonically</p> <p>Video/virtual: contact was completed virtually</p> <p>This element will repeat.</p>	<p>This element will repeat for all dates of contact entered.</p>	N
32. The ISP was developed according to the processes required.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Yes: A yes rating is indicated when evidence supports the ISP reviewed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Was developed in coordination with the individual and their family/caregiver, as appropriate, all providers, and others as desired by the individual. Includes updated VIDES, completed 	<p>HCBS Question</p> <p>Reviewer will confirm the ISP reviewed was developed according to processes required, specifically if the ISP was developed in coordination with the individual's family and providers as appropriate, includes and updated VIDES, accurately updated risks, reflect meaningful conversations about employment and integrated community involvement, and includes at least one outcome that reflects something Important To the individual.</p> <p>When determining accurate update of risks and potential risks, <i>reviewers must consider the score for element 11 and if the score is NO</i>, indicating</p>	Y process

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		<p>within a year of previous VIDES; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Includes accurately updated risks and potential risks. Includes one or more outcomes that reflect what is Important To the person Includes evidence of a meaningful conversation about employment, if applicable Includes evidence of a meaningful conversation about integrated community involvement <p>No: A no rating is indicated when any of the above criteria were not followed</p>	<p>risks or potential risks have been omitted from ISP Part III Shared Planning section, this element must also be scored No.</p> <p>A “Yes” score is indicated when there is evidence the support coordinator completed all bulleted tasks.</p> <p>This is an all-or-nothing element, meaning if any of the required scoring criteria were not completed, reviewer must select “No.”</p> <p>DD Waiver Manual, Chapter 4, Page 29: The VIDES must be updated within a year of the last completed VIDES, with an allowance of completion by the end of the month in which it is due or up to two weeks into the next month if the due date is at the end of a month.</p>	
33. If No, please describe the ISP development processes that were not followed as required.	Text field		<p>Reviewer should include notation here of any required ISP development processes that were not followed.</p> <p>The reviewer notation must be specific and detail what aspect of the criteria above were not included or documented as part of ISP development.</p>	N

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
34. Did the individual have a change in status since initiation of the ISP?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Yes: Documentation indicated that the individual had a change in status since initiation of the most recent ISP.</p> <p>No: Documentation indicated that the individual did not have a change in status since initiation of the most recent ISP.</p>	<p>This element is reviewer evaluation of changes in status which may have occurred since initiation of the ISP as evidenced in support coordinator documentation, including but not limited to assessments completed after the initiation of the ISP, progress notes, and/or quarterly reviews .</p> <p>This element is not confirmation the SC properly documented the change through an update to the in-progress ISP, but rather is an objective assessment of the individual <i>based on support coordinator documentation submitted</i>.</p> <p>Below is list of possible assessments that a reviewer could find in the record which may indicate changes in status:</p> <p>OSVT (On-Site Visit Tool) – uploaded by CSB</p> <p>SIS (Supports Intensity Scale)—Uploaded by the provider</p> <p>CRAT (Crisis Risk Assessment Tool)- Maybe in WaMS or provided by CSB (SC document)</p> <p>Physical—Uploaded by the residential provider or SC</p> <p>Dental—Uploaded by the residential provider or SC</p> <p>FBA (Functional Behavioral Assessment)—may be uploaded in WaMS, by the provider, or by the SC</p> <p>“Change in status” refers to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • changes related to a person’s mental, physical, or behavioral condition • changes in one’s circumstances to include representation, financial status, living arrangements, service providers, eligibility 	N


DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
			<p>for services, services received, and type of services or waiver.)</p> <p>(https://dbhds.virginia.gov/assets/doc/sccm/osvt-definitions-defining-change-in-status-and-isp-implemented-appropriately-6.9.20-final.pdf)</p> <p>“Yes” should be selected if the documentation submitted shows evidence of changes to an individual’s needs or status since initiation of the ISP (for example a WaMS addendum, updated PFS/Part V, or noted as change in Quarterly Report, OSVT, or support coordinator progress notes.)</p> <p>“No” should be selected if the review of the ISP and/or other individual record documentation indicates the individual did not have any new needs or changes in status since initiation of the ISP.</p> <p>Consider your score for this element when scoring element 61.</p> <p>osvt-definitions-defining-change-in-status-and-isp-implemented-appropriately-6.9.20-final.pdf (virginia.gov)</p> <p>12VAC35-105-650, 12VAC35-105-675.</p>	
35. If Yes, was the ISP updated to reflect the change in status?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Yes: The ISP was updated to reflect the change in status.</p> <p>No: The ISP was not updated to reflect the change in status.</p>	<p>This element will open if the reviewer has determined a change in status occurred since the effective date of the ISP reviewed and the previous element is scored Yes.</p> <p>This element is intended to assess if updates were made to the ISP <i>to reflect changes in status which occurred after the initiation of the ISP</i>.</p>	Y change in status


DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
			<p>Reviewers will score element “Yes” if review of the ISP confirms it was updated after the individual’s change in status.</p> <p>Reviewers will score element “No” if review of the ISP does not confirm it was updated after the individual’s change in status.</p>	
<p>36. If No, does the individual’s file include documentation the support coordinator identified and resolved the change(s) in status (any new or unidentified risk, injury, need, or deficiency in support plan or discrepancy between implementation of supports and services and the individual’s strengths and preferences) through the convening of the individuals’ team to address the issue?</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Source: FY 2022 and FY 2023 Community Services Performance Contract</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>A “Yes” rating is indicated when there is documentation the support coordinator identified all changes in status AND evidence that the individual’s support planning team was convened by phone, video, or in-person to address the issue.</p> <p>A “No” rating is indicated when evidence indicates the support coordinator failed to identify a change in status OR there is documentation the support coordinator identified change(s) in status but did NOT convene the support planning team to address the issue.</p>	<p>HCBS Question</p> <p>This element will only open if the previous was scored No.</p> <p>This element is intended to assess if any changes in status that may have occurred since the initiation of the ISP were addressed and resolved properly by the support coordinator and the individual’s support planning team.</p> <p>For “Yes,” the following <i>must be documented in the individual’s record</i>:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Evidence the SC identified an unidentified (new or not known to the individual during ISP development) or inadequately assessed risk, injury, need (for example, unable to locate a service provider in the region), or change in status, deficiency in the individual’s support plan or its implementation, or discrepancy between the implementation of supports and services and the individual’s strengths and preferences. This includes but is not limited to changes in status noted in the OSVT, progress notes, quarterly reviews, or other assessments completed after the initiation of the ISP. 	Y change in status

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
			<p>2) Evidence the support planning team was convened to address the issue. This could be completed telephonically with the team.</p> <p>3) Evidence the issue(s) was resolved during the team meeting, or referrals to address the risk were completed, including follow up and documentation in OSVT.</p> <p>“No” should be selected when ANY of the above were not evidenced or documented in the individual’s record.</p> <p>Support Coordination/Case Management Manual / Virginia Department of Behavioral Health and Developmental Services</p>  <p>osvt-format-dds-on-site-visit-tool-10.30</p>	
37. Describe any inadequately addressed or previously unidentified risk, injury, need, change in status, deficiency in support plan or support implementation, and/or discrepancy between support implementations, services provided, and the individual’s strengths and preferences.	Text field	If the preceding scored element is answered “No,” the reviewer will document the findings.	<p>This element opens if the previous element is scored No.</p> <p>The reviewer must include here a description of the inadequately addressed change in status which occurred during the lookback.</p> <p>Reviewer description must be succinct and provide clear explanation of the change(s) in status which occurred that the support coordinator failed to identify or properly address.</p> <p>When possible, the reviewer should provide sufficient detail to direct the support coordinator/CSB to where evidence of the change</p>	N

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
			<p>was documented (i.e., 'individual experienced loss/death of parent who serves as SDM as documented in OSVT dated 11/1/24 but no action taken to discuss change or assess new needs secondary to loss').</p> <p>In the event that the reviewer identifies that the ISP documentation is inconsistent with the individual's diagnoses, history, stated desires, etc., the reviewer should refer to the Clinical Decision Tree to assist with determining whether the concern warrants further review and potential follow-up with the SC and/or DBHDS.</p>  <p>osvt-format-dds-on-site-visit-tool-10.30</p>	
38. Additional assessments for conditions listed has been offered and/or completed.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<p>Yes: Review of support coordinator documentation indicates additional assessment has been offered and/or is in progress for all condition(s) listed.</p> <p>No: Review of support coordinator documentation indicates additional assessment was not offered for any conditions listed.</p> <p>N/A: Individual's record does not show evidence of any of the identified</p>	<p>The intent of this element is to identify opportunities for additional assessment of specific health conditions which could increase an individual's functional skills and ensure clinical recommendations are communicated to the support coordinator and/or provider as appropriate.</p> <p>The reviewer must assess the individual's record for evidence of any condition listed below and follow the relevant query prompt explicating possible additional assessment(s) that could be evidenced in the individual's record to determine appropriate score for this element.</p> <p>The reviewer should score element after review of documentation including but not limited to the:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the ISPs pertinent to the lookback, 	Y change in status

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		medical/behavioral conditions listed.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> assessments completed in conjunction with ISP development and/or after initiation of the ISP, person centered reviews completed during the lookback by the SC and/or the provider, progress notes throughout the lookback. <p>For individuals with the medical and/or behavioral conditions listed below, the reviewer will consider query prompt for each condition that applies to individual, score element as indicated, and complete clinical referral when directed for that medical and/or behavioral condition. If more than one additional assessment is indicated by the query prompts, the reviewer should include all relevant conditions in ONE clinical referral.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> For individuals, whose record has evidence of limited verbal skills or no verbal communication: Query: Is there evidence <i>the individual or family has been offered a communication device?</i> IF No, score element No and complete a CLINICAL REFERRAL. For individuals, whose record has evidence of low BMI, chronic obesity, or other medical conditions secondary to eating issues: Query: Is there evidence <i>the individual or family has been offered a nutritional assessment, swallow study, or occupational treatment assessment?</i> 	

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
			<p>IF No, score element No and complete a CLINICAL REFERRAL.</p> <p>3. For individuals, whose record has evidence of complex medical needs (tracheostomy, gastrostomy tube, ventilator)- Query: Is there evidence <i>the individual or family has been offered a re-evaluation of current nursing services?</i></p> <p>IF No, score element No and complete a CLINICAL REFERRAL</p> <p>4. For individuals, whose record has evidence of unaddressed behavioral needs or risks, or supports provided with or without evidence of a formal BSP: Query: <i>Is there evidence the individual or family has been offered re-evaluation of current behavioral supports or referral to therapeutic consultation?</i></p> <p>IF No, score element No and complete a CLINICAL REFERRAL.</p> <p>5. For individuals, whose record has evidence of a mental health diagnosis and/or psychotropic medications prescribed by a PCP: Query: Is there evidence <i>the individual or family has been offered a referral to a psychiatrist?</i></p> <p>IF No, score element No and complete a CLINICAL REFERRAL</p> <p>6. For individuals, whose record has evidence of new or unaddressed side effects of prescribed medications:</p>	

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
			<p>Query: Is there evidence <i>the individual or family has been offered consultation with the prescribing professional to address the side effects?</i></p> <p>IF No, score element No and complete CLINICAL REFERRAL</p> <p>7. For individuals, whose record has evidence of limited mobility, new loss of feeling in limbs, contractures, edema, and/or decubitus ulcers:</p> <p>Query: <i>Is there evidence the individual or family has been offered a physical therapy assessment?</i></p> <p>IF No, score element No and complete a CLINICAL REFERRAL.</p>	
Case Summary				
39. Is there a concern that needs follow-up?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Yes: There is a concern that requires follow-up</p> <p>No: There are no concerns that require follow-up.</p>	All HSAG reviewers (including team leads and clinical reviewers) will follow HSAG's Reporting procedure to ensure reportable incidents are reported timely and alerts to DBHDS and/or Licensing are completed per the procedure.	
40. Type of Concern	<input type="checkbox"/> Clinical review needed <input type="checkbox"/> HSW concern	<p>Clinical review needed: the reviewer has identified the need for assistance in reviewing clinical information</p> <p>HSW concern: the reviewer has identified a Health, Safety, or Welfare concern that must be reported to DBHDS and/or Licensing</p>	<p>If there is an HSW concern, the team lead will review and follow the HSAG Reporting procedure to ensure the capture of information and timely reporting to DBHDS and/or Licensing.</p> <p>This element will only be answered if the preceding element is Yes.</p>	


**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
41. Summary of Clinical Review Concerns	Text field	<p>This section is provided for reviewers to document any questions or concerns that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need to be addressed by a clinical lead • Need to be referred to DBDHS for follow-up 	<p>Reviewers must identify the element that is being reported as a concern. For instance, the reviewer may identify a concern during an interview with the SC. The reviewer should document here that the concern was identified during the interview, describe which element/question the concern related to, and describe the concern.</p> <p>This element will only be answered if there is a concern that needs follow-up.</p>	
42. Summary of HSW	Text field			
43. HSW Lead Response	Text field	The HSW Lead will provide a response to the concern/request for review	<p>This section will only be completed if HSW is submitted.</p> <p>The clinical reviewer will describe the response to the question/concern from the reviewer and any actions required (i.e. reviewed documentation, agree with reviewer's response, no change in scoring recommended and no action needed by provider; OR reviewed concern, disagree with reviewer's response and provided feedback to reviewer for change of response; OR reviewed concern, action needed by SC and/or provider [describe action needed], alert documented and referred to provider and/or SC and/or DBHDS on mm/dd/yy at hh:mm).</p>	
44. Clinical Reviewer Response	Text field	The clinical reviewer can use this section to document additional notes regarding his/her review, including documenting the information/records reviewed, individuals and/or staff that contributed to the	<p>This section will be completed by the clinical reviewer and only if clinical review assistance is requested.</p> <p>Reviewers must consider the clinical reviewer's response and ensure any follow up questions for the support coordinator and/or the provider are addressed during the relevant interview, with any TA documented as appropriate.</p>	

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		clinical reviewer's review, etc.		
45. Clinical Reviewer Notes				
46. Clinical reviewer name and credentials	Text field	The clinical reviewer will enter his/her name and credentials	This section will only be completed if clinical review assistance is requested.	
SC INTERVIEW TAB				
SC Information				
47. Date of interview	mm/dd/yyyy	The reviewer will enter the date that the interview was conducted.		N
48. How was the interview completed?	<input type="checkbox"/> Virtually via webinar <input type="checkbox"/> Telephonically <input type="checkbox"/> In-person	Reviewer will select the method in which the review was completed.		N
49. Name of Support Coordinator	Text field	Reviewer will enter the name of the SC	Reviewer must complete this section, regardless of the SC's participation in the interview.	N
50. Contact information for Support Coordinator	Text field	Reviewer will document the contact information (i.e., phone number, email, etc.) of the SC.	Reviewer must complete this section, regardless of the SC's participation in the interview.	N
51. Was the interviewee the primary or an interim SC?	<input type="checkbox"/> Primary <input type="checkbox"/> Interim <input type="checkbox"/> Interviewee temporarily assigned individual	Reviewer will document if the SC is the primary or an interim SC providing temporary coverage for the primary SC being unavailable, not currently assigned to a primary SC due to SC leaving, or a supervisor providing coverage due to the primary SC being new/in training)	Reviewer will document if it is the primary or interim SC per evaluation criteria. If staff interviewed is a supervisor but currently providing supports to the individual, Interim should be selected. The interviewee must have a working knowledge of the individual to be discussed. If the interviewee does NOT have a working knowledge of the individual and is temporarily assigned OR is completing the interview in the role of administrator only, reviewer will select score of <i>Interviewee temporarily assigned to individual</i> and complete and submit an HSW Alert (due to non-coverage).	N

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
52. How long has the SC supported the individual?	<input type="checkbox"/> < 3 months <input type="checkbox"/> 3 to 6 months <input type="checkbox"/> 6 months to 1 year <input type="checkbox"/> > 1 year to 5 years <input type="checkbox"/> > 5 years to 10 years <input type="checkbox"/> > 10 years	Reviewer will enter the amount of time the SC has supported the individual.	Reviewer will document how long the interviewee has supported the individual.	N
SC Interview				
53. Was the individual receiving ECM or TCM?	<input type="checkbox"/> ECM <input type="checkbox"/> TCM	The reviewer will select the type(s) of case management received. The reviewer will select both if both were received during the lookback period.	Reviewer may find a notation of ECM vs TCM status in a Support Coordinator progress note, Quarterly Review of services, or annual planning note.	N
54. How did you make this determination?	Text field	Reviewer will document the SC's response.	Utilize the decision matrix to confirm the decision  CM Worksheet DRAFT 11.3.21 for re	N
55. How do you monitor the individual's support and services?	Text field	Reviewer will document the SC's response.		N
56. Can you describe the identified risks listed in Part III of the most recent ISP?	Text field	Reviewer will document the SC's response.		N
57. Did the support coordinator accurately report the identified risks addressed in Part III of the most recent ISP?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Yes: The support coordinator was able to accurately report the risks addressed in the most recent ISP. No: The support coordinator was not able to accurately report the risks addressed in the most recent ISP.	This element is intended to assess if the support coordinator was able to accurately identify through verbal report what identified risks, if any, are addressed in the most recent ISP. The support coordinator is NOT required to report all <i>potential</i> risks for the individual which may not have yet been confirmed by an appropriate professional, but at a minimum must be able to accurately report all identified risks listed in Part III of the ISP.	Y knowledge

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
58. If No, what identified risks addressed in the ISP did the support coordinator omit from their response?	Text field	The reviewer will list identified risks addressed in the ISP that the support coordinator did not report in their response.	This element will open if the previous element is scored No. Reviewer must list any identified risk the support coordinator does not include in their response to the question above.	N
59. Did the individual have a change in status since the initiation of the ISP?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	The reviewer will document the SC's response.		N
60. If Yes, what did you do to address the change in status?	Text field	The reviewer will document the SC's response.		N
61. Did the support coordinator accurately report changes in status that occurred since the initiation of the ISP?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Yes: The support coordinator was able to accurately report changes in status which occurred during the lookback.</p> <p>No: The support coordinator was not able to accurately report the changes in status which occurred during the lookback.</p>	<p>This element is intended to assess if the support coordinator was able to accurately identify through verbal report what changes in status occurred, if any, for the duration of the lookback.</p> <p>The support coordinator is NOT required to report all changes an individual may have experienced during the lookback, but at a minimum must be able to accurately identify changes in status where action was required to address the change.</p> <p>The reviewer should score this element by comparing the reviewer score for element 34 against the verbal report by the support coordinator.</p>	Y knowledge
62. If No, what change(s) in status were omitted from the support coordinators response that occurred since initiation of the ISP?		The reviewer will list change(s) in status which occurred since initiation of the ISP that the support coordinator did not report in their response.	This element will open if previous element is scored No . Reviewer must list any change(s) in status the support coordinator does not include in their response to question above.	N
63. Does the individual's file show evidence of the actions taken to address	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	Yes: The individual's file has evidence actions taken to address changes in status	The reviewer will score this element using the documentation submitted and confirm evidence of	Y follow through

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
the change in status as reported by the support coordinator?		<p>which occurred during the lookback are documented appropriately.</p> <p>No: The individual's file does not include evidence actions taken to address changes in status which occurred during the lookback are documented appropriately.</p> <p>N/A: Action taken by SC occurred after the lookback and would not be evidenced in the individual's record</p>	<p>actions reported by the support coordinator is present.</p> <p>If the support coordinator reports actions taken during the lookback and the reviewer is unsure where evidence of actions completed is documented in the support coordinator records submitted, the reviewer may query the SC about where to find that evidence (i.e., a specific progress note) to inform scoring.</p>	
64. What do you do when a provider is not implementing the plan as written?	<input type="checkbox"/> Talk to my supervisor <input type="checkbox"/> Query the provider <input type="checkbox"/> Contact provider management <input type="checkbox"/> Convene a team meeting <input type="checkbox"/> Contact the guardian <input type="checkbox"/> Contact APS	The reviewer will select all responses reported by the SC.		N
65. What do you do when there is a conflict in the ISP planning process?	Text field	The reviewer will document the SC's response.		N
66. Are all medical and behavioral support needs currently being addressed, either through documented supports or an in-progress referral?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Yes: The support coordinator confirms all medical and behavioral needs for the individual are currently being addressed.</p> <p>No: Support Coordinator reports there are medical or</p>	<p>This element is scored by the Support Coordinator self-report.</p> <p>However, the expectation is that all medical and behavioral needs will be monitored by the Support Coordinator and addressed appropriately. Needs are considered appropriately addressed when the documentation shows the need is known to the</p>	Y follow through

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		behavioral needs that are NOT currently being addressed through documented supports and/or monitoring, or an in-progress referral.	individual's team, the need has been discussed with the individual, and the individual's preference for services and supports to address the need are either currently in place, or evidence shows the team has completed a referral for additional assessment(s) and/or new supports to address the need within the last three months. If a service is needed but is not currently in place, Support Coordinators should have documentation of the referral and the status of progress of the referral noted, including steps to address referrals older than three months old that have yet to be completed. If the reviewer has evidence indicating there are current needs that are not properly addressed and the SC responds YES to this element, TA is indicated.	
67. If No, please describe the unaddressed need, including what barriers prevent adequate support from being implemented.	Text field	The reviewer will document the SC's response.	Reviewers should prompt Support Coordinators to explain the medical or behavioral needs of individuals not currently being addressed and identify barriers to fully supporting those needs.	
68. Enter any TA discussed with the SC	Text field		TA to the support coordinator may include follow-up on clinical review questions, queries about clinical conditions and the possible need for additional assessment to address, and/or queries about changes in status not appropriately addressed by the support coordinator.	
Case Summary				
69. Is there a concern that needs follow-up?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Yes: There is a concern that requires follow-up No: There are no concerns that require follow-up	The reviewer will indicate if there is a concern that needs follow-up.	

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
70. Type of Concern	<input type="checkbox"/> Clinical review needed <input type="checkbox"/> HSW concern	<p>Clinical review needed: the reviewer has identified the need for assistance in reviewing clinical information</p> <p>HSW concern: the reviewer has identified a Health, Safety, or Welfare concern that must be reported to DBHDS and/or Licensing</p>	<p>If there is an HSW concern, the team lead will review and follow the HSAG Reporting procedure to ensure the capture of information and timely reporting to DBHDS and/or Licensing.</p> <p>This element will only be answered if there is a concern that needs follow-up.</p>	
71. Summary of Clinical Review Concerns	Text field	<p>This section is provided for reviewers to document any questions or concerns that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need to be referred to clinical lead • Need to be referred to DBDHS for follow-up 	<p>This element will only be answered if there is a concern that needs follow-up.</p>	
72. Summary of HSW	Text field			
73. HSW Lead Response	Text field	The HSW Lead will provide a response to the concern/request for review	<p>This section will only be completed if HSW is submitted.</p> <p>The clinical reviewer will describe the response to the question/concern from the reviewer and any actions required (i.e. reviewed documentation, agree with reviewer's response, no change in scoring recommended and no action needed by provider; OR reviewed concern, disagree with reviewer's response and provided feedback to reviewer for change of response; OR reviewed concern, action needed by SC and/or provider [describe action needed], alert documented and</p>	

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
			referred to provider and/or SC and/or DBHDS on mm/dd/yy at hh:mm).	
74. Clinical Reviewer Response				
75. Clinical Reviewer Notes	Text field	The clinical reviewer can use this section to document additional notes regarding his/her review, including documenting the information/records reviewed, individuals and/or staff that contributed to the clinical reviewer's review, etc.	This section will only be completed if clinical review assistance is requested.	
76. Clinical reviewer name and credentials	Text field	The clinical reviewer will enter his/her name and credentials	This section will only be completed if clinical review assistance is requested.	
PROVIDER TAB				
Provider Record Review				
77. Date of completed provider documentation review.	Date field	Reviewer will enter the date of provider notes/documentation review.	Reviewer will enter the date that they have completed all provider documentation review.	N
78. Is there evidence of completion of an annual physical exam?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Yes: Documentation indicated that the individual had an annual physical exam within the past 14 months from the present day No: Documentation does NOT confirm completion of an annual physical exam within the last 14 months.	RESIDENTIAL SERVICES ONLY The reviewer must score this element using provider submitted documentation. Providers may submit a form the individuals' physician completes at the annual physical, a progress note that details date of physical exam and outcome including any necessary follow up, or referrals. If provider documentation for last physical exam shows it occurred more than 14 months ago, or if	Y HSW

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
			the provider does not submit evidence of last physical exam, this element must be scored No and reviewer must submit a clinical referral.	
79. If No, please select reason.	<input type="checkbox"/> No documentation/insufficient documentation submitted by the provider <input type="checkbox"/> Documentation submitted by the provider is more than 14 months old	Reviewer should select the reason for deficiency.	RESIDENTIAL SERVICES ONLY	Y HSW
80. Is there evidence of completion of an annual dental exam?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Yes: Documentation indicated that the individual had an annual dental exam within the past 14 months from present day. No: Documentation does NOT confirm completion of an annual dental exam within the last 14 months.	RESIDENTIAL SERVICES ONLY The reviewer must score this element using provider submitted documentation. Providers may submit a form the individuals' physician completes at the annual physical, a progress note that details date of physical exam and outcome including any necessary follow up, or referrals. If provider documentation for last dental exam shows it occurred more than 14 months ago, or if the provider does not submit evidence of last dental exam, this element must be scored No and reviewer must submit a clinical referral.	Y HSW
81. If No, please select reason.	<input type="checkbox"/> No documentation/insufficient documentation submitted by the provider <input type="checkbox"/> Documentation submitted by the provider is more than 14 months old	Reviewer should select the reason for deficiency.	RESIDENTIAL SERVICES ONLY	Y HSW

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
82. Is there evidence of a signed lease, residency agreement, or other written agreement for the person that includes language referencing individual protections from eviction?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>A “Yes” rating is indicated when the provider demonstrates documentation that a signed lease is on file for the individual which includes minimum information such as address, lease term date, amount of rent due and rent due dates, and language or citation to the VRLTA regarding protection against eviction.</p> <p>A “No” rating is indicated when the residential provider does not have a lease or residency agreement for the individual, or minimum information is missing from the lease.</p>	<p>RESIDENTIAL SERVICES ONLY</p> <p>HCBS Question</p> <p>This element is intended to assess if residential providers have a lease or other residency agreement in place for individuals they support that protects individuals from eviction through the inclusion of VRLTA 55-248.16.</p> <p>If no lease/residency agreement is provided, or if the agreement provided does not include VRLTA regulations, the reviewer must score element No.</p>	Y HCBS
83. Is there a record of the individual receiving and signing their HCBS rights disclosure on an annual basis?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Yes: Documentation of a signed HCBS rights disclosure was provided by the provider.</p> <p>No: This document was not provided</p>	<p>HCBS Question</p> <p>The HCBS rights disclosure can be part of the annual rights review but would have a separate section specific to HCBS.</p>	Y HCBS
84. Does the individual require modification to HCBS rules for health and safety risks?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>A “Yes” rating is indicated when the individual’s record indicates a modification to HCBS rules is required for health or safety risks.</p>	<p>HCBS Question</p> <p>This element assesses if provider documentation indicates an individual requires modification of HCBS rights.</p>	N

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		A “No” rating is indicated when the individual’s record indicates modifications to HCBS rules for health and safety risks are not required.		
85. If Yes, is there an approved modification in place for health and safety risks or is the provider in the process of requesting such approval?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>A “Yes” rating is indicated when provider documentation includes evidence an approved HCBS Rights modification is in place for a health and safety risk, or the provider has requested the approval and it is in process.</p> <p>A “No” rating is indicated when provider documentation includes evidence restricting individuals’ HCBS rights without an approved modification OR policies demonstrating setting-wide restrictions on HCBS requirements.</p>	<p>HCBS Question This element will only open if previous element is scored Yes. If the individual has a documented health and safety risk that requires modification of HCBS rights, DBHDS requires submission of Safety Restriction Form in WaMS within Part V PFS.</p> <p>IF THE REVIEWER SELECTS “No” the reviewer will complete and submit an HSW Alert.</p>	Y HCBS
Provider Observation & Interview: These elements must be informed and scored based on the most recently completed ISP for the individual.				
86. Date of observation/interview	mm/dd/yyyy	The reviewer will enter the date that the face-to-face observation was conducted.		N
87. Name of provider staff selected by HSAG for observation:	Text field	Reviewer will enter the name of staff selected by HSAG for observation.		N

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
88. Was the observation completed with staff selected by HSAG?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<p>A “Yes” rating is indicated when: Staff observed was selected by HSAG reviewer.</p> <p>A “No” rating is indicated when: Staff observed were not selected by HSAG reviewer.</p> <p>A “N/A” rating is indicated when individual has only one (1) staff listed for service provision by provider.</p>	<p>Reviewer will communicate to provider which staff listed in sample spreadsheet has been selected for observation.</p> <p>If reviewer arrives for observation and staff selected is not present to observe, reviewer will observe staff present and conduct interview.</p> <p>If the provider does NOT list more than one staff member for the reviewer to choose from, or if the service type is typically offered 1-1 (Case Management, IHS, ILS, CCO) the element MUST be scored N/A.</p>	Y Provider Process
89. If No, name of staff observed.	Text field	Reviewer will note name of staff observed if other than staff selected by HSAG.	<p>If more than one provider staff is present during the observation, reviewer must ensure that only staff selected by HSAG participate in the interview.</p> <p>Other provider staff may be present; however, they should not respond to interview questions on behalf of staff being interviewed/observed.</p>	N
90. Did the staff observed complete DBHDS competency-based training?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Yes: provider documentation for the staff submitted show completion of DBHDS competencies.</p> <p>No: provider documentation for the staff submitted does not show completion of DBHDS competencies.</p>	Reviewer will assess the training records for the staff being observed and confirm presence of DBHDS competency-based training.	N
91. If No, is the staff supporting the individual in their first 180 days of employment (new)?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Yes: Reviewer observed staff supporting the individual during the visit who met the DBHDS definition of ‘new.’	<p>DBHDS defines “new” as any staff who are in the first 180 days of employment OR have not yet passed DMAS-approved competencies.</p> <p>Reviewers must review the staff names listed in the provider sample that have an indication they are</p>	Y Provider Training

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		<p>No: No staff observed supporting the individual during the visit does not meet the DBHDS definition of 'new.'</p>	<p>'new' and score element accordingly if the reviewer chooses that staff for observation or if that staff is the only staff available for observation.</p> <p>If there is any concern the staff being observed is <i>NEW but had not been identified as such by the provider in the sample</i>, the reviewer should query the provider or staff during observation to confirm if they meet the DBHDS-defined criteria for 'new' to confirm accurate scoring.</p> <p>*If element is scored No, the Reviewer will complete and submit a Provider Competency and Capacity Notification for the provider, listing the employee whose records did not contain proof of competency-based training, as required.</p>	
92. If Yes, is there evidence of oversight and monitoring of new staff?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Yes: Reviewer observed appropriate supervision of new staff supporting the individual during the visit.</p> <p>No: Reviewer did not observe appropriate supervision of new staff during the visit.</p>	<p>This element is scored based on the observation of staff noted in the previous element. Appropriate oversight is defined as a person supervising who reports completing DBHDS required training.</p> <p>DBHDS requires new staff to be supervised until competencies are passed with a minimum score of 80% (DMAS Form P241a) within 180 days of hire. Supervision of new staff may be provided by any qualified staff who have passed the knowledge-based exam.</p> <p>Reviewers should score this element Yes if new staff (as defined above) are observed during the visit receiving appropriate oversight.</p>	Y Provider Training

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
			<p>Reviewers should score this element No if new staff (as defined above) are not receiving appropriate oversight during the visit.</p> <p>*If element is scored No: Reviewer will complete and submit a PCC Notification for the provider listing the new employee who did not have oversight and monitoring during the observation.</p>	
93. Did the staff observed complete DBHDS advanced competencies?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Yes: provider documentation for the staff observed show completion of DBHDS advanced competencies.</p> <p>No: provider documentation for the staff observed does not show completion of DBHDS advanced competencies.</p>	<p><i>This element will only open for individuals with SIS Levels 5, 6, or 7 noted in the tool.</i></p> <p>Reviewer will assess the training records for the staff being observed and confirm presence of DBHDS advanced competency training.</p> <p>DBHDS 12622 Advanced Competency Guidance.docx (sharepoint.com)</p> <p>*If element is scored No, Reviewer will complete and submit a Provider Competency and Capacity Notification for the provider, listing the employee whose records did not contain proof of advanced competency training, as required.</p>	Y Provider Training
94. Address of service provision where observation occurred.	Text field	Address must be complete, including street address, city, state, and zip code.	Reviewer should add service location address as soon as communicated from provider for selected staff.	N
95. How was the interview completed?	<input type="checkbox"/> Virtually via webinar <input type="checkbox"/> In-person	Reviewer will select the method in which the review was completed.		N
96. Did face-to-face interview of staff include observation of the individual and their service provision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			N

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
97. Is the individual's/provider's environment neat and clean?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> UTA	<p>The reviewer will observe and assess the individual's environment.</p> <p>Yes: The environment is clean.</p> <p>No: The environment is not clean/concerns were noted.</p> <p>UTA: Unable to assess. Reviewers will only use this option if individuals refuse direct observation of their personal environment</p>	(Clean, odor-free, etc.)	Y HSW
98. Was the person's/provider's environment accessible?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> UTA	<p>Yes: The environment meets the needs of the individual and they are able to access common areas of the service location.</p> <p>No: The environment does not meet the needs of the individual and/or there are areas of the service location that they cannot access (ex. Kitchen, living room)</p> <p>UTA: Unable to assess. Reviewers will only use this option if individuals refuse direct observation of their personal environment</p>	HCBS Question	Y HSW

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
99. Does the individual appear well-kempt?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> UTA	<p>The reviewer will observe and assess the individual for, at a minimum, the items noted in the subsequent element.</p> <p>Yes: The individual appeared well-kempt.</p> <p>No: The individual did not appear well kempt/concerns were noted.</p> <p>UTA: Unable to assess. Reviewers will only use this option if individuals refuse direct observation of their person.</p>	(Clean, odor free, etc.)	Y HSW
100. Were staff engaging with the individual based on the person's preference and interests?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> UTA	<p>Yes: The staff were supporting the individual and engaging them in preferred activities as indicated in their ISP.</p> <p>No: The staff were not engaging with the individual OR they were engaging with the individual in ways that are not congruent with their ISP.</p> <p>UTA: Unable to assess.</p>	<p>HCBS Question</p> <p>Reviewer will note the individual's preferences PRIOR to the onsite to be able to adequately observe if the staff is supporting the individual based on their preferences and interests.</p>	Y person centered

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
101. Was the person being offered choices throughout the visit?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> UTA	<p>Yes: The staff were offering the individual meaningful choices during the visit and supporting them with following through with their choice.</p> <p>No: The staff did not offer the individual options that allowed for meaningful choices to be made OR did not offer choices at all when choices were possible.</p> <p>UTA: Unable to assess.</p>	<p>HCBS Question</p> <p>Reviewer will document during the observation if the staff is offering meaningful choices during the visit. It may be helpful to ask the staff during on-site how the individual makes or communicates their choices to ensure reviewer is aware if not documented in the ISP.</p>	Y person centered
102. Was the staff utilizing person-centered language and talking with the individual as opposed to about the individual?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> UTA	<p>Yes: The staff used first person language throughout the visit and addressed the individual directly.</p> <p>No: The staff did not use first person language, did not address the individual directly, or attempt to communicate in the preferred method of the individual.</p> <p>UTA: Unable to assess.</p>	<p>Reviewer will document during the onsite observation how staff is speaking with the individual. It is important to note that even if someone does not communicate verbally, the expectation is that the staff supporting them are still communicating with the individual. Ex. Letting them know what they are doing, giving them choices, addressing them directly, communicating in their preferred method, reading nonverbal cues, etc.</p> <p>If the staff is speaking about the individual in the third person in front of them and does not involve them, element will be no.</p>	Y person centered
103. Were staff implementing the ISP Part V Plan for Supports (PFS) as written?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> UTA	<p>A “Yes” rating is indicated if the reviewer observed service provision in action, and confirmed it accurately</p>	<p>Reviewers will consider the support activities and support instructions as documented in the provider Part V prior to observation, and score element using best judgement of appropriate implementation of provider Part V.</p>	Y Provider Process

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		<p>represents provider Part V Plan for Supports.</p> <p>A "No" rating is indicated if the reviewer observed service provision in action that did not accurately represents provider Part V Plan for Supports.</p> <p>UTA: Reviewer was not able to directly observe service provision.</p>		
104. If No, describe	Text field	Reviewer will document deficiencies observed in services provided or plan implementation.	Reviewer will note what specific supports were not implemented appropriately during the observation of service provision. Reviewers should not include supports that cannot be observed (for example, nighttime supports), only those that should be implemented during observation and were not .	N
105. For individuals with a behavioral support plan or protocol, were staff following strategies as outlined in the written plan?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> UTA <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<p>Yes: The staff utilized strategies identified in the BSP or behavior protocol to support the individual during the visit.</p> <p>No: The staff did not use strategies identified in the BSP or behavior protocol to support the individual during the visit as needed.</p> <p>UTA: Unable to assess. Reviewer did not observe</p>	<p>IF THE REVIEWER SELECTS "No" the reviewer will complete and submit the PCC Notification.</p> <p>This element is intended to assess if staff is supporting individuals with behavioral needs using interventions documented in plan.</p> <p>Reviewer will need to know what is included in the behavior support plan/protocol prior to onsite visit. The reviewer will observe staff during the visit and determine if they are supporting the individual as indicated in the behavior support plan/protocol. Staff should be able to recognize targeted behaviors and implement strategies from the BSP/protocol as appropriate.</p>	Y HSW

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		<p>any of the targeted behaviors during the visit.</p> <p>N/A: The individual does not have a behavior support plan.</p>	<p>Formal Behavior support plans developed by Therapeutic Consultant must contain the following information, at a minimum:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Demographic information 2) Person-centered information 3) History and rationale 4) Functional behavior assessment 5) Behaviors targeted for decrease 6) Hypothesized functions of behavior 7) Antecedent interventions 8) Replacement behaviors/behaviors targeted for increase 9) Consequence interventions (when indicated) 10) Safety and crisis guidelines (when indicated) 11) Any additional recommendations 12) Appropriate signatures 13) Plan for training 	
106. Were staff adhering to medical protocols as outlined in the plan?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> UTA <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<p>Yes: The staff adhered to medical protocols in support of the individual during the visit as required.</p> <p>No: The staff did not adhere to all medical protocols needed to support the individual during the visit.</p> <p>UTA: Unable to assess. Reviewer was unable to observe ANY of the protocols due to need and/or timing.</p>	<p>IF THE REVIEWER SELECTS "No" the reviewer will complete and submit the PCC Notification</p> <p>Reviewers will need to be aware of the protocols an individual has prior to the onsite visit to be able to determine if staff are adhering to medical and behavioral protocols.</p>	Y HSW

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		N/A: The individual does not have any medical protocols.		
107. Were staff able to describe what integrated community inclusion looks like for the individual?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<p>Yes: The staff are able to describe what integrated community inclusion looks like for the individual.</p> <p>No: The staff was not able to describe what integrated community inclusion looks like for the individual.</p> <p>N/A: Individual does not have outcomes developed specific to goals of integrated community inclusion.</p>	<p>HCBS Question</p> <p>Reviewers will need to be familiar with the individual's ISP Part I Important To section prior to onsite to be able to accurately score the element.</p> <p>Reviewers must consider how integrated community inclusion is documented in provider Part V for the individual, and confirm staff is able to articulate how community inclusion is incorporated into an individual's plan and service provision.</p> <p>Reviewer may assess Part III outcomes for goals specific to integrated community inclusion, or review provider Part V for supports that speak to individual participation in meaningful work activities; participation in non-large group activities; and/or participation in community outings with people other than those with whom they live.</p> <p>https://dbhds.virginia.gov/wp-content/uploads/2023/10/ICI-Fact-Sheet-FINAL_newlogo-1.pdf</p>	Y provider community inclusion
108. Did the staff demonstrate competency in supporting the individual?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> UTA	<p>Yes: The staff demonstrated skills that were appropriate to support the individual and to ensure that their needs are being met. (Ex. Staff were able to demonstrate appropriate lifting techniques during transfers,</p>	<p>IF THE REVIEWER SELECTS "No" the reviewer will complete and submit the PCC Notification.</p> <p>Reviewers will observe staff during onsite to be able to determine if they demonstrate competence while supporting the individual. Reviewers should be familiar with individual ISPs and support needs to be able to determine what skills should be</p>	Y Provider Training

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		<p>staff was trained on the individual's ISP and were able to support them based on their preferences, staff being able to communicate effectively with the individual and recognize supports needed, staff appeared trained on the needs of the individual as well as the program and did not need to rely on others for guidance and direction for items within the DSP scope)</p> <p>No: The staff did not demonstrate the necessary skills to be able to support the individual to be able to meet their needs. (Ex. Staff did not appear to know what to do and either needed to ask for directions or did not support the individual properly within their scope.</p> <p>UTA: Unable to assess. Reviewers will only use this option if pandemic or other health restrictions do not allow for observation.</p>	observed such as using a two-person lift, gait belt, communication device, etc.	
109. Does the individual require 1-1 support per Provider Part V?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Yes: The individual requires 1-1 support or has specialized staffing supports	Reviewer will use the most recent provider Part V Plan for Supports to assess if documentation indicates individual requires 1-1 support.	N

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		<p>detailed in the provider Part V.</p> <p>No: The individual does not have specialized support needs per provider Part V.</p>		
110. If yes, is 1-1 or specialized staffing support being implemented during observation as required, per provider Part V PFS?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> UTA	<p>Yes: 1-1 supports are being implemented per provider Part V PFS as required during the observation.</p> <p>No: 1-1 supports are not being implemented per provider Part V PFS as required during the observation.</p> <p>UTA: Unable to assess during observation (specialized staffing support is required in the community, but onsite occurs in the home)</p>	<p>Element will open if previous element is score Yes.</p> <p>Reviewer will determine if specialized support needs are being implemented during the onsite visit if applicable.</p> <p>Ex. The individual requires 1:1 staff at all times per Part V, the staff onsite should be able to observe that the individual has a dedicated 1:1 staff at all times the provider receives a Customized Rate that necessitates a higher level of staffing.</p> <p>If the reviewer selects No: Reviewer will complete and submit a PCC Notification.</p>	Y HSW
111. What types of adaptive equipment does the individual have as part of their most recent plan?	Text field	Reviewer will indicate what adaptive equipment is included in the ISP	Document None if individual does not require adaptive equipment.	N
112. Are staff familiar with adaptive equipment needs?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> UTA <input type="checkbox"/> N/A – no equipment	<p>Yes: The staff supporting the individual are familiar with the adaptive equipment the individual needs, the purpose of the equipment, and how to use the equipment properly in the correct situations.</p>	<p>Reviewer will determine during onsite observation if staff are familiar with adaptive equipment needs. The focus of this element is that staff are aware of the equipment, it's purpose, and the situations in which the equipment is required.</p>	Y HSW

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		<p>No: The individual has adaptive equipment and the staff supporting the individual are either not aware of the equipment and the need for the equipment OR the staff is not properly trained on how to use the equipment or how to support the individual to use the equipment.</p> <p>UTA: Unable to assess during observation (adaptive equipment was not needed during the observation)</p> <p>N/A: Individual does not have adaptive equipment.</p>		
113. Were staff utilizing adaptive equipment the individual had as part of their plan?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> UTA	<p>Yes: The staff supporting the individual are observed to be utilizing the adaptive equipment as indicated in their ISP. They appear to know how to use the equipment effectively and in the correct situations based on the ISP.</p> <p>No: The individual has adaptive equipment and the staff supporting the individual were not utilizing</p>	This element differs from the preceding element as this element is evaluating that the staff are utilizing the equipment during the onsite visit.	Y HSW

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		the equipment based on the ISP and to best support the individual. UTA: Unable to assess during observation (adaptive equipment was not needed during the observation).		
114. Is all equipment in working order?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> UTA	<p>Yes: All adaptive equipment is in working order. The adaptive equipment is not being used due to being in need of repair or is not in working order.</p> <p>No: The adaptive equipment is not being used due to needing repair or is not in working order.</p> <p>UTA: Unable to assess during observation (adaptive equipment was not needed during the observation OR no observation conducted with individual).</p>	<p>Reviewer will need to be aware of equipment prior to onsite and request to see equipment during onsite visit to determine if it is in working order. Reviewer would score element Yes if all required adaptive equipment is in working order and available for use during time of observation.</p> <p>Reviewer will score element No if required adaptive equipment is in need of repair or is not in working order at time of observation of individual.</p> <p>Reviewer will score element UTA if observation of individual is not completed OR if required adaptive equipment was not available at time of observation to confirm it was in working order.</p>	N
115. Has repair or follow-up on repairs been occurring?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<p>Yes: The identified equipment is in the process of being repaired, follow-up has occurred to repair by DME provider, the item is in the process of being replaced, or consistent</p>	<p>IF THE REVIEWER SELECTS “No” the reviewer will complete and submit the PCC Notification.</p> <p>This element is informed by scoring of previous element and is intended to assess that all adaptive equipment for the individual that is not in working order is currently in process of being repaired, or</p>	Y HSW

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		<p>follow-up is documented to address needed repairs.</p> <p>No: No follow-up has occurred or staff supporting the individual is not aware of any follow-up actions being taken to address the repair.</p> <p>N/A: Follow-up on repairs for adaptive equipment is not assigned to this provider.</p>	<p>there is documentation to support repair of adaptive equipment is in progress.</p> <p>Only providers assigned as responsible for follow-up on repairs are required to document follow-up on those repairs. If the provider being reviewed is not assigned responsible for equipment repair, reviewer must score element N/A.</p>	
116. Did the reviewer observe that all routine supports being provided were included in the provider Part V?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> UTA	<p>Yes: The reviewer did observe support needs being addressed by support staff that are included in the provider Part V as a needed support.</p> <p>No: The reviewer did not observe that all supports were being provided per provider Part V.</p> <p>UTA: Reviewer did not observe supports being provided to individual OR Reviewer did not observe individual.</p>	<p>Reviewer will determine if the staff are providing supports to the individual that are not included in the provider Part V Routine Supports. Element is intended to capture if supports being provided than are included in the person's most recent plan.</p>	Y Provider Process
117. If no, describe	Text field			
118. Are staff able to describe things Important To and Important For the individual?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Yes: Staff were able to describe the individual's talents/contributions and</p>	<p>IF THE REVIEWER SELECTS "No" the reviewer will complete and submit the PCC Notification.</p>	Y person centered

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		<p>what is Important To and Important For the individual.</p> <p>No: Staff were not able to describe the individual's talents/contributions and what is Important to and Important for the individual.</p>	<p>Reviewer will determine if the staff are aware of what the individual ISP indicates that are Important To and for the individual. This is included in Part I of the most recent ISP.</p>	
119. Was the staff able to describe the outcomes being worked on in this environment?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Yes: Staff were able to describe the outcomes being worked on in this environment.</p> <p>No: Staff were not able to describe the outcomes being worked on in this environment.</p>	<p>IF THE REVIEWER SELECTS "No" the reviewer will complete and submit the PCC Notification.</p> <p>Reviewer will determine if the staff supporting the individual is aware of the ISP outcomes that the individual is working on for that service.</p>	Y Provider Training
120. Could the staff describe the medical support needs of the individual?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<p>Yes: Staff were able to describe the medical support needs of the individual and any signs/symptoms that need to be monitored.</p> <p>No: Staff were not able to describe the medical support needs of the individual or described incorrect or incomplete support needs.</p> <p>N/A: Individual does not have medical support needs documented in record.</p>	<p>IF THE REVIEWER SELECTS "No" the reviewer will complete and submit the PCC Notification.</p> <p>The reviewer will ask staff to describe medical support needs of the individual. Please note that ALL services should be familiar with the individual's medical needs to the extent they are documented in the individual's most recent ISP.</p>	Y HSW

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
121. Were staff familiar with the medical protocols to support the person?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<p>Yes: The staff were familiar with medical protocols to support the individual.</p> <p>No: The staff were not familiar with medical protocols to support the individual.</p> <p>N/A: The individual does not have any medical protocols documented in their record.</p>	<p>IF THE REVIEWER SELECTS “No” the reviewer will complete and submit the PCC Notification.</p> <p>The reviewer will ask staff about medical protocols the individual has documented in their most recent ISP. All services should be familiar with medical protocols and be able to respond per the protocol. It is Important to specify between the provider’s policy for medical emergencies and the individual’s medical protocol.</p> <p>Ex. Individual has a seizure protocol that indicates that they need to receive a PRN if they have a seizure that lasts longer than 3 minutes vs. provider protocol indicates to call 911 for seizures lasting longer than 5 minutes. This element is looking for the individual protocol—NOT the provider policy.</p> <p>Review the Clinical Decision Tree for clinical referral if needed.</p>	Y HSW
122. Were staff able to describe appropriate steps to take if the individual experienced a medical crisis?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Yes: Staff indicated that response to a medical crisis would include contacting a supervisor and providing medical intervention OR calling 911 to provide medical intervention.</p> <p>No: Staff indicated that response to a medical crisis includes contacting a supervisor or other person for direction without intention to call 911, and no</p>	<p>Reviewer must assess if the staff are able to describe the minimum appropriate steps to address a medical crisis.</p> <p>The staff may respond with multiple possible interventions to address a medical crisis, so the reviewer should consider their full response to determine which score is most appropriate.</p> <p>If staff indicates that they contact a supervisor or family member of the individual prior to contacting 911 in all instances of possible medical crisis, reviewer must score element No.</p>	Y HSW

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		knowledge of how to provide medical interventions		
123. Could the staff describe behavioral support needs?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<p>Yes: The staff were able to describe the individual's behavioral support needs.</p> <p>No: The staff were not able to describe behavioral support needs or could only partially describe behavioral support needs.</p> <p>N/A: The individual does not have any behavioral support need documented in their records.</p>	<p>IF THE REVIEWER SELECTS "No" the reviewer will complete and submit the PCC Notification.</p> <p>The reviewer will ask staff about any behavioral support needs of the individual. The expectation is that staff will be able to articulate in a general way what behavioral needs have been identified for the person.</p> <p>At minimum, staff must be able to confirm the presence of formal BSP if applicable, and what general behaviors the plan is addressing.</p>	Y HSW
124. Were staff familiar with the behavioral support plan or protocols developed to support the person?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<p>Yes: The staff were able to implement behavior protocols as written. Staff are able to describe antecedents, behaviors, minimization or coping strategies, and any other aspects of the behavioral protocol. Staff were observed implementing strategies to proactively prevent behaviors.</p> <p>No: Observation of staff indicates they were not able to support the individual during behavior outbursts per the behavioral protocol,</p>	<p>IF REVIEWER SELECTS "No" the reviewer will complete and submit the PCC Notification.</p> <p>This element is intended to assess through verbal report or observation if staff are able to identify target behaviors for decrease and implement interventions approved in the plan.</p> <p>Reviewers should score Yes if they were able to confirm through observation that staff can identify target behaviors for decrease and implement interventions approved in the plan. A Yes is also indicated if staff can explain target behaviors/interventions if the visit does not include opportunities to observe the behavior plan in action.</p>	Y HSW

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		<p>or staff could not explain target behaviors and associated interventions.</p> <p>N/A: The individual observed does not have a Behavioral support plan or protocols</p>	<p>Reviewers should score No if staff observed are not addressing target behaviors or not using interventions approved in the plan, OR if staff are not able to explain target behaviors/interventions when the visit does not include opportunities to observe the behavior plan in action.</p>	
125. Were staff able to describe appropriate steps to take if an individual they are supporting was beginning to experience a mental health or behavioral crisis?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Yes: Staff indicated that response to a behavioral crisis would include implementing the person's BSP, and/or calling 988 or REACH for support, and <i>may</i> include contacting a supervisor or 911.</p> <p>No: Staff indicated that response to a behavioral crisis only includes contacting a supervisor for direction or calling 911, without knowledge of how to implement the person's BSP, OR contacting 988 or REACH for support.</p>	<p>Reviewer must assess if the staff are able to describe the minimum appropriate steps to address a behavioral crisis.</p> <p>The staff may respond with multiple possible interventions to address a behavioral crisis, so the reviewer should consider their full response to determine which score is most appropriate.</p>	Y- HSW
126. Does the staff know what medications the person is taking or where to locate this information?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<p>Yes: The staff were able to describe the medications the individual is taking or show you where they verify current medications.</p> <p>No: The staff were not able to describe the medications or show you where this</p>	<p>IF THE REVIEWER SELECTS "No" the reviewer will complete and submit the PCC Notification.</p> <p>This element is intended to capture if staff are able to report to the reviewer that they know individuals are taking medications and are able to show you where to find the information about this.</p>	Y HSW

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		<p>information is recorded that the person is taking.</p> <p>N/A: The individual does not take ANY medications.</p>	<p>It is the expectation that all staff in all services can tell you that individuals take medications and that they know where to locate that information.</p> <p>A “Yes” score is indicated if staff are able to describe in either manner below ALL prescribed medications for the individual. This is an all-or-nothing element, meaning if staff are not able to describe ALL types of medications, the reviewer must score element No.</p> <p>A “No” score is indicated if staff are not able to describe in either manner below for ANY prescribed medications for the individual. This is an all-or-nothing element, meaning if staff are not able to describe ANY types of medication prescribed, the reviewer must score element No.</p> <p>Example: an individual is prescribed Lorazepam .5mg PRN, and Trazodone 100mg PM.</p> <p>A “Yes” score would be indicated if staff report: “Individual takes Lorazepam .5 mg as needed and Trazodone 100 mg at night.” OR “Individual takes one medication for anxiety as needed, and another medication for sleep.” OR Staff indicate they know the person is on medication, and they can get the information and show you this information.</p>	
127. Can the staff list the most common side effects of the medications the	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	Yes: The staff were able to describe the side effects of the medications the	IF THE REVIEWER SELECTS “No” the reviewer will complete and submit the PCC Notification.	Y HSW

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
person is on or where to locate this information?		<p>individual is taking or show you where to locate the side effects of the medications taken.</p> <p>No: The staff were not able to describe the side effects of the medications that the person is taking or show you where they would locate them.</p> <p>N/A: The individual does not take ANY medications.</p>	<p>This element is intended to capture if staff are able to report to the reviewer the most common side effects for either specific medications, OR the medication types, or where to find them that individual is prescribed.</p> <p>It is the expectation that all staff in all services can describe the most common side effects which may occur for medications prescribed to the individual, including supplements and OTC meds or can show you where they can locate this information even if they do not administer medication(s).</p> <p>The reviewer should consider the staff response to element 126 and prompt accordingly. If staff has responded to element 126 reporting types of medication prescribed, they must be able to describe the most common side effects for those same types of medications or show you where they locate them.</p> <p>A “Yes” score is indicated if staff are able to describe in either manner below the most common side effects for ALL medications prescribed to the individual. This is an all-or-nothing element, meaning staff must be able to describe the most common side effects for ALL medication(s) or medication type(s) prescribed to individuals or show you where they can find them.</p> <p>A “No” score is indicated if staff are not able to describe in either manner below the most common side effects for ANY medication(s) or medication type(s) prescribed to the individual. This is an all-</p>	

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
			<p>or-nothing element, meaning if staff are not able to describe the most common side effects for ANY medication(s) or type(s) of medication prescribed, the reviewer must score element No.</p> <p>Example: an individual is prescribed Lorazepam .5mg PRN, and Trazodone 100mg PM. A 'Yes' score would be indicated if staff report: "Individual is prescribed 'specific name of medication,' at 'dosage' and takes it at 'time of day taken.' OR "Individual is prescribed "two psychotropic medications," and 'does/does not' take it during my shift." OR Staff indicate they know the person is on medication, and they can get the information and show you information about the medication and medication side effects.</p>	
128. When were you last trained on Medication Administration?	<input type="checkbox"/> < 6 months ago <input type="checkbox"/> 6-12 months ago <input type="checkbox"/> > 12 months ago <input type="checkbox"/> Never	Reviewer will document the DSP's response.	<p>Reviewer does not need to document specific date but can do so if provided. Staff may respond to this question with an approximate timeframe (i.e., "about six months ago" or "when we did our annual training").</p>	N
129. When were you last trained on Crisis Intervention?	<input type="checkbox"/> < 6 months ago <input type="checkbox"/> 6-12 months ago <input type="checkbox"/> > 12 months ago <input type="checkbox"/> Never	The reviewer will document the DSP's response.	<p>Reviewer does not need to document specific date but can do so if provided. Staff may respond to this question with an approximate timeframe (i.e., "about six months ago" or "when we did our annual training").</p>	N
130. Can you tell me what person-centered care means?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Yes: Staff are able to verbalize the concept of person-centered care or describe the practical	The reviewer will confirm staff can articulate core aspects of person-centered care in general OR is able to describe how the concept is applied in practice with the individuals they serve.	Y person centered

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		<p>application of it in their service provision.</p> <p>No: Staff are NOT able to verbalize the concept of person-centered care, or describe the practical application of it in their service provision</p>	<p>Reviewers should use the definition below to assess sufficient understanding of the concept to score element Yes.</p> <p>PERSON-CENTERED CARE: Service provision that focuses on the needs and preferences of the individual (not the system or service availability) and empowers and supports individuals in defining the direction for their own lives. Person-centered care promotes self-determination, community inclusion, and typical lives. It builds on the individual's strengths, personality, and interests. It helps him or her to become an integral part of the neighborhood and community by promoting participation in the life of the community and building relationships with people with whom he or she wants to spend time. It assists the individual in making personal choices and achieving dreams and a desirable lifestyle.</p>	
131. Can you explain the individual's rights in your program?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Yes: Staff are able to verbalize the components of the HCBS settings rule or provide specific examples of implementation in their service provision.</p> <p>No: Staff are NOT able to verbalize the components of the HCBS settings rule or provide specific examples of implementation in their service provision.</p>	<p>HCBS Question</p> <p>The reviewer will confirm staff can articulate the core components of the HCBS settings rule or can provide specific examples of implementation in their service provision.</p> <p>Reviewers should use knowledge of the HCBS settings rule and the definition below, to assess if staff are able to verbalize the concept, or what in practice the application of the concept looks like in service provision.</p> <p>"HCBS Settings Rule requirements are designed to ensure that people with disabilities living in the</p>	Y HCBS

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
			community have access to the same kind of choice and control over their own lives as those not receiving Medicaid HCBS funding.”	
132. Did the provider identify any changes to needs or status since initiation of the ISP requiring an adjustment to services or supports?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<p>Yes: The individual had a change to needs or status requiring an adjustment to services or supports that the provider identified and documented.</p> <p>No: The individual had a change in needs or status requiring an adjustment to service or support but review of provider documentation indicates the provider failed to identify and document the change.</p> <p>N/A: The Individual did not have any new needs or change to status since the initiation of the ISP documented in the record.</p>	<p>This element is intended to assess if providers are able to identify/recognize changes to needs or status appropriately and document what adjustments to services and supports were made to address the change. Review of documentation may identify a change in the individual’s needs or outcomes/support activities (improvement or decline should be considered).</p> <p>“Change in status” refers to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • changes related to a person’s mental, physical, or behavioral condition • changes in one’s circumstances to include representation, financial status, living arrangements, service providers, eligibility for services, services received, and type of services or waiver.) <p>(https://dbhds.virginia.gov/assets/doc/sccm/osvt-definitions-defining-change-in-status-and-isp-implemented-appropriately-6.9.20-final.pdf)</p> <p>“Yes” should be selected if the provider identified changes to an individuals’ needs or status since initiation of the ISP AND documented those changes in service provision documents (for example a WaMS addendum, updated PFS/Part V, or noted as change in Quarterly Report.)</p> <p>“No” should be selected if review of the provider documentation indicates a failure to recognize,</p>	Y HSW

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
			<p>identify, and/or document a change to an individuals' needs or status.</p> <p>"N/A" should be selected if Individual did not have any new needs or change to status since initiation of the ISP.</p> <p>osvt-definitions-defining-change-in-status-and-isp-implemented-appropriately-6.9.20-final.pdf (virginia.gov)</p> <p>12VAC35-105-650, 12VAC35-105-675</p>	
133. Did the provider implement actions to address the changing needs and/or status?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<p>Yes: Review of documentation confirmed that the provider implemented actions to address the changing needs and/or outcomes/support activities and/or individual desires.</p> <p>No: Review of documentation did not confirm that the provider implemented actions needed to address the changing needs and/or outcomes/support activities and/or individual desires.</p> <p>N/A: Actions were not warranted to address the change (for instance, follow-up with physicians and/or other providers confirmed</p>	<p>This element will open if the previous element is scored Yes.</p> <p>Reviewer should score element Yes when the provider implemented actions to address changing needs.</p> <p>Reviewer should score element No when there is NOT evidence provider implemented actions to address the change.</p> <p>Review the Clinical Decision Tree for clinical referral if needed.</p>	Y HSW

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		that changes were not necessary).		
134. Describe any inadequately addressed or previously unidentified change in needs or outcomes/support activities, deficiency in support plan or support implementation, discrepancy between support implementations, services provided, and the individual's strengths and preferences, and/or lack of follow-up regarding an individual's stated desires.	Text box	The reviewer will document any findings from review of the individual's documentation.	<p>This element will only open if the previous element is scored No.</p> <p>The intent of this text box is to provide a summary of any changes in status which occurred since the initiation of the ISP that the provider did not identify or adequately address and consider recent changes in status for the individual communicated during staff interview or observation that should be addressed by the provider.</p>	N
135. Enter any TA discussed with the DSP.	Text field		TA to the DSP may include follow-up on clinical review questions, queries about current status of clinical conditions, and/or queries about changes in status not appropriately addressed by the provider.	N
Case Summary				
136. Is there a concern that needs follow-up?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Yes: There is a concern that requires follow-up</p> <p>No: There are no concerns that require follow-up</p>	<p>All HSAG reviewers (including team leads and clinical reviewers) will follow HSAG's Reporting procedure to ensure reportable incidents are reported timely and alerts to DBHDS and/or Licensing are completed per the procedure.</p> <p>At a minimum, concerns that are documented include any report of actual or alleged abuse, neglect, exploitation, or other critical incident. Reviewers will follow HSAG procedure for reporting of incidents.</p>	

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
137. Type of Concern	<input type="checkbox"/> Clinical review needed <input type="checkbox"/> HSW <input type="checkbox"/> Provider Capacity & Competency	Clinical review needed: the reviewer has identified the need for assistance in reviewing clinical information HSW concern: the reviewer has identified a Health, Safety, or Welfare concern that must be reported to DBHDS and/or Licensing Provider Capacity & Competency: the reviewer scored deficient any element identified as requiring a PCC Notification	If there is an HSW concern , the team lead will review and follow the HSAG Reporting procedure to ensure the capture of information and timely reporting to DBHDS and/or Licensing. This element will only be answered if there is a concern that needs follow-up.	
138. Summary of Clinical Review Concerns	Text field	This section is provided for reviewers to document any questions or concerns that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need to be addressed by a clinical lead • Need to be referred to DBDHS for follow-up 	Reviewers must identify the element that is being reported as a concern. For instance, the reviewer may identify a concern during an interview with the provider staff. The reviewer should document here that the concern was identified during the interview, describe which element/question the concern related to, and describe the concern. This element will only be answered if there is a concern that needs follow-up.	
139. Summary of HSW/Provider Capacity and Competency Concerns	Text field		This element will only be answered if there is a concern that needs follow-up.	
140. HSW Lead Response	Text field	The clinical reviewer will respond to the concern/request for a review.	This section will only be completed if HSW is submitted. The clinical reviewer will describe the response to the question/concern from the reviewer and any actions required (i.e. reviewed documentation, agree with reviewer's response, no change in	

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
			scoring recommended and no action needed by provider; OR reviewed concern, disagree with reviewer's response and provided feedback to reviewer for change of response; OR reviewed concern, action needed by provider [describe action needed], alert documented and referred to provider and/or SC and/or DBHDS on mm/dd/yy at hh:mm).	
141. Clinical Reviewer Response				
142. Clinical Reviewer Notes	Text field	The clinical reviewer can use this section to document additional notes regarding his/her review, including documenting the information/records reviewed, individuals and/or staff that contributed to the clinical reviewer's review, etc.	This section will only be completed if clinical review assistance is requested.	
143. Clinical reviewer name and credentials	Text field	The clinical reviewer will enter his/her name and credentials	This section will only be completed if clinical review assistance is requested.	
INDIVIDUAL TAB			Individual interview conducted in a private location: When QSRs are scheduled make sure you communicate with providers, individuals, and families of the expectation for interviews of individuals to be conducted in a private area where provider staff cannot hear the interview or influence the interview responses, unless the individual needs or requests staff assistance. Inform the providers of the need to provide/designate a private location for the interview, such as an office space or conference	

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
			room on the day of the QSR review. If such a space is not available in the building, work with the provider to determine additional areas that will allow the interview to be conducted privately, such as the interview outside on a porch or patio area. If the weather does not allow the interview to be conducted outside or a location does not have a private office or conference room, then have the provider provide a part of the main area away from staff and others for the interview, to ensure individual privacy. If the interview is not conducted in private, documented reason in element 148 .	
Individual Information				
144. Can and does the individual choose to participate in the interview process?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Yes: The individual can and chooses to participate in the interview process. No: The individual cannot or chooses not to participate in the interview process.		N
145. If No, select the reason	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual or SDM/Family declined prior to arrival for observation. <input type="checkbox"/> The individual or SDM/Family declined upon arrival for observation. <input type="checkbox"/> The individual is not present at the time of observation with staff.	If the preceding element is answered, " No ," the reviewer will document the reason that the individual cannot or chooses not to participate in the interview process.		N

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
	<input type="checkbox"/> The individual is in medical and/or behavioral distress that precluded interview.			
146. Date of interview	mm/dd/yyyy	The reviewer will enter the date that the interview was conducted.		N
147. How was the interview completed?	<input type="checkbox"/> Virtually via webinar <input type="checkbox"/> Telephonically <input type="checkbox"/> In-person	The reviewer will select the method in which the review was completed.		N
148. If the interview was not able to be conducted in private, describe why.	Text field	If the interview was not able to be conducted in private, the reviewer will document why.		N
Individual Interview			<p><i>For all interview questions in this section, the reviewer should ask follow-up prompts to ensure understanding of the question and accuracy of No score.</i></p> <p><i>Meaning, if any of the prompts are responded as No, the reviewer must score element No.</i></p> <p><i>If an individual is able to respond to some questions, but no all, reviewer should score based on the questions the individual provided responses for.</i></p> <p>Residential: group home, supported living, sponsored res, in-home services, independent living</p> <p>Day/Group: day program, community engagement, community coaching</p>	
149. Do you like living here?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	RESIDENTIAL ONLY	HCBS Question	Y individual choice

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
	<input type="checkbox"/> CND	The reviewer will enter the individual's response and consider responses to follow-up prompts when scoring.	Do you like the location and neighborhood? Do you like your room? Do you like your housemates? CND: Could not determine. Reviewers will utilize CND if unable to determine the individual's response for the interview question or any of the follow-up prompts.	
150. Would you like to live somewhere else?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> CND	RESIDENTIAL ONLY The reviewer will enter the individual's response and consider responses to follow-up prompts when scoring.	HCBS Question Is there somewhere else you would like to live? Another neighborhood or city or with different people? CND: Could not determine. Reviewers will utilize CND if unable to determine the individual's response for the interview question or any of the follow-up prompts. <i>*Inverse: Any Yes responses to the questions above indicate score must be Yes</i>	Y individual choice
151. Did you choose the people you live with?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> CND	RESIDENTIAL ONLY The reviewer will enter the individual's response and consider responses to follow-up prompts when scoring	HCBS Question Did you have a choice about the people you live with? If someone else wants to move in, do you get a say? Do you get along with your housemates? If you have a roommate, did you choose your roommate? CND: Could not determine. Reviewers will utilize CND if unable to determine the individual's response for the interview question or any of the follow-up prompts.	Y individual choice
152. Do you have a key to your home?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> CND	RESIDENTIAL ONLY The reviewer will enter the individual's response and	HCBS Question Do you have a physical key, door code, or biometric lock?	Y HCBS

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		consider responses to follow-up prompts when scoring.	CND: Could not determine. Reviewers will utilize CND if unable to determine the individual's response for the interview question or any of the follow-up prompts.	
153. If No, why not?	Text field	RESIDENTIAL ONLY If the preceding element is answered, " No ," the reviewer will enter the individual's response.	Reviewers should enter CND if unable to determine the individual's response.	N
154. Do you have a key to your bedroom?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> CND	RESIDENTIAL ONLY The reviewer will enter the individual's response and consider responses to follow-up prompts when scoring.	HCBS Question Were you offered the option to have one? CND: Could not determine. Reviewers will utilize CND if unable to determine the individual's response to the interview question or the follow-up prompts.	Y HCBS
155. If No, why not?	Text field	RESIDENTIAL ONLY If the preceding element is answered, " No ," the reviewer will enter the individual's response.	Reviewers should enter CND if unable to determine the individual's response.	N
156. Do you open your mail or receive help with opening your mail?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> CND	RESIDENTIAL ONLY The reviewer will enter the individual's response.	HCBS Question CND: Could not determine. Reviewers will utilize CND if unable to determine the individual's response.	Y Rights
157. Do you have visitors at your home?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> CND	RESIDENTIAL ONLY The reviewer will enter the individual's response and consider responses to follow-up prompts when scoring.	HCBS Question Are you allowed to have visitors at home like friends or family? Does your staff help arrange visits with friends? CND: Could not determine. Reviewers will utilize CND if unable to determine the individual's	Y HCBS

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
			response to the interview question or any of the follow-up prompts.	
158. Do you like attending this program?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> CND	GROUP DAY or other COMMUNITY-BASED PROGRAMS ONLY The reviewer will enter the individual's response and consider responses to follow-up prompts when scoring.	HCBS Question Do you get to pick what activities you do? Do you like the activities you participate in? CND: Could not determine. Reviewers will utilize CND if unable to determine the individual's response to the interview question or any of the follow-up prompts.	Y individual choice
159. Did you get to choose the people you participate in the group with?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> CND	GROUP DAY or other COMMUNITY-BASED PROGRAMS ONLY The reviewer will enter the individual's response and consider responses to follow-up prompts when scoring.	HCBS Question Do you get to pick who you hang out with? CND: Could not determine. Reviewers will utilize CND if unable to determine the individual's response for the interview question or the follow-up prompts.	Y individual choice
160. Would you like to do something else during the day?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> CND	NOT APPLICABLE FOR RESPITE/CRISIS/Case Management The reviewer will enter the individual's response.	HCBS Question CND: Could not determine. Reviewers will utilize CND if unable to determine the individual's response.	Y individual choice
161. Do you like your staff?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> CND	ALL SERVICE TYPES The reviewer will enter the individual's response and consider responses to follow-up prompts when scoring.	HCBS Question Do you get along with your staff? CND: Could not determine. Reviewers will utilize CND if unable to determine the individual's response for the interview question or the follow-up prompts.	Y individual choice
162. If No, why not?	Text field	ALL SERVICE TYPES If the preceding element is answered, "No," the	HCBS Question Reviewers should enter CND if unable to determine the individual's response.	N

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		reviewer will enter the individual's response.		
163. If you want to be alone, what can you do?	Text field	ALL SERVICE TYPES The reviewer will enter the individual's response.	HCBS Question Reviewers should enter CND if unable to determine the individual's response.	N
164. Who decides what things you get to do?	Text field	ALL SERVICE TYPES The reviewer will enter the individual's response.	HCBS Question Reviewers should enter CND if unable to determine the individual's response.	N
165. If you want to go somewhere, does your provider take you?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> CND	NOT APPLICABLE FOR RESPITE/CRISIS/CASE MANAGEMENT The reviewer will enter the individual's response and consider responses to follow-up prompts when scoring.	Do you have transportation for all your activities? CND: Could not determine. Reviewers will utilize CND if unable to determine the individual's response to the interview question or the follow-up prompts.	Y Transportation
166. Can you get where you want to go without problems?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> CND	NOT APPLICABLE FOR RESPITE/CRISIS/CASE MANAGEMENT The reviewer will enter the individual's response and consider responses to follow-up prompts when scoring.	Do staff assist with linking you to transportation? CND: Could not determine. Reviewers will utilize CND if unable to determine the individual's response to the interview question or the follow-up prompts.	Y Transportation
167. If No, what kinds of problems do you have?	Text field	NOT APPLICABLE FOR RESPITE/CRISIS/CASE MANAGEMENT The reviewer will enter the individual's response.	Reviewers should enter CND if unable to determine the individual's response.	N

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
168. What if you want to do something but no one else wants to?	Text field	ALL SERVICE TYPES The reviewer will enter the individual's response.	Reviewers should enter CND if unable to determine the individual's response.	
169. Who do you go out into the community with?	Text field	ALL SERVICE TYPES The reviewer will enter the individual's response.	Reviewers should enter CND if unable to determine the individual's response.	
170. Do you spend time in the community doing the things you like to do?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> CND	ALL SERVICE TYPES The reviewer will enter the individual's response.	HCBS Question CND: Could not determine. Reviewers will utilize CND if unable to determine the individual's response.	Y individual choice
171. Do you do those things as often as you would like?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> CND	ALL SERVICE TYPES The reviewer will enter the individual's response and consider responses to follow-up prompts when scoring	HCBS Question This element will open if the previous element is scored Yes using the individual's response. Do you get to spend as much time as you would like on those activities? CND: Could not determine. Reviewers will utilize CND if unable to determine the individual's response to the interview question or any of the follow-up prompts.	Y individual choice
172. Do you do activities with the people you would like to?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> CND	ALL SERVICE TYPES The reviewer will enter the individual's response and consider responses to follow-up prompts when scoring	HCBS Question Are you going out with people you prefer more often than not (whoever those people may be, including housemates and/or staff)? CND: Could not determine. Reviewers will utilize CND if unable to determine the individual's response to the interview question or the follow-up prompts.	Y individual choice

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
173. Are there things you would like to do that you are not able to do?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> CND	ALL SERVICE TYPES The reviewer will enter the individual's response.	HCBS Question This element is intended to capture individual's perspectives about why they may not be able to do their chosen activities as often as they would like. <i>*Inverse measure</i>	Y individual choice
174. Describe the activities individual reports they would like to do but are not able to do.	Text Field		HCBS Question This element will open if the previous element is scored Yes for the individual's response. The reviewer will document the individual's description of activities they would like to do that they are not able to do currently.	
175. When you are hungry, are you able to eat?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> CND	ALL SERVICE TYPES The reviewer will enter the individual's response.	HCBS Question Are you able to access food whenever you are hungry? Can you get snacks when you are hungry without asking staff for permission? Reviewers should enter CND if unable to determine the individual's response.	Y HCBS
176. Do you want to attend a church/synagogue/mosque or other religious activity of your choice?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> CND	ALL SERVICE TYPES The reviewer will enter the individual's response.	HCBS Question CND: Could not determine. Reviewers will utilize CND if unable to determine the individual's response.	N
177. Do you attend religious services?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> CND	ALL SERVICE TYPES The reviewer will enter the individual's response based and consider the response to prompt.	HCBS Question This element will only open if the previous element is scored YES . CND: Could not determine. Reviewers will utilize CND if unable to determine the individual's response to the interview question or the follow-up prompts.	Y individual choice

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
178. If No, why not?	Text field	ALL SERVICE TYPES The reviewer will enter the individual's response.	Reviewers should enter CND if unable to determine the individual's response.	N
179. Are you registered to vote?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> CND	ALL SERVICE TYPES The reviewer will enter the individual's response and consider responses to follow-up prompts when scoring. The reviewer will select N/A if the individual is unable to vote due to legal status.	HCBS Question If you wanted to vote, could you? CND: Could not determine. Reviewers will utilize CND if unable to determine the individual's response to the interview question or the follow-up prompts	N
180. Did you vote in the last election?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> CND	ALL SERVICE TYPES The reviewer will enter the individual's response. The reviewer will select N/A if the individual is unable to vote due to legal status.	HCBS Question Did you vote in the most recent national election in 2024? CND: Could not determine. Reviewers will utilize CND if unable to determine the individual's response to the interview question or the follow-up prompts	N
181. If No, why not?	Text field	ALL SERVICE TYPES If the preceding element is answered, " No ," the reviewer will enter the individual's response.	Reviewers should enter CND if unable to determine the individual's response.	N
182. Do you participate in your banking?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> CND	ALL SERVICE TYPES The reviewer will enter the individual's response and consider responses to follow-up prompts when scoring	HCBS Question Do you participate in paying bills? If you want to buy something, can you? CND: Could not determine. Reviewers will utilize CND if unable to determine the individual's	Y Rights

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
			response to the interview question or the follow-up prompts	
183. Do you have a paid job?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> CND	<p>ALL SERVICE TYPES</p> <p>The reviewer will enter the individual's response.</p>	<p>HCBS Question</p> <p>Element is looking for paid employment. If the daily activities of a person which they call a 'job' is unpaid work, the reviewer must score element No.</p> <p>CND: Could not determine. Reviewers will utilize CND if unable to determine the individual's response.</p>	N
184. Do you want one?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> CND	<p>ALL SERVICE TYPES</p> <p>The reviewer will enter the individual's response.</p>	<p>HCBS Question</p> <p>This element will only open for scoring if the previous element is scored No.</p> <p>*Inverse measure</p>	Y provider community inclusion
185. Is your support coordinator currently addressing your employment goals?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> CND	<p>ALL SERVICE TYPES</p> <p>The reviewer will enter the individual's response.</p>	<p>HCBS Question</p> <p>The reviewer will enter individuals' responses.</p> <p>N/A: Individual reports not currently needing support to address employment goals OR the individual is younger than 14 or older than 64.</p> <p>CND: Could not determine. Reviewers will utilize CND if unable to determine the individual's response.</p>	Y individual community inclusion
186. Do you feel safe here?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> CND	<p>ALL SERVICE TYPES</p> <p>The reviewer will enter the individual's response and consider responses to follow-up prompts when scoring.</p>	<p>HCBS Question</p> <p>Do you feel safe in this home/at this program?</p> <p>CND: Could not determine. Reviewers will utilize CND if unable to determine the individual's response to the interview question or the follow-up prompt.</p>	Y Individual Rights

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
187. If No, is there a specific reason why?	Text field	<p>ALL SERVICE TYPES</p> <p>If the preceding element is answered, “No,” the reviewer will enter the individual’s response.</p>	<p>This element is intended to provide an opportunity for the individual to express their concerns about safety AND confirm any concerns for safety expressed by the individual are being appropriately addressed by relevant staff using the reviewer’s clinical judgment.</p> <p>If the individual responds No to element 186, and the reviewer is not able to determine whether the expressed concern for safety is being appropriately addressed OR is unsure if the expressed safety concern is being appropriately addressed, the reviewer should consider if an HSW alert is appropriate.</p>	N
Case Summary				
188. Is there a concern that needs follow-up?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Yes: There is a concern that requires follow-up</p> <p>No: There are no concerns that require follow-up</p>	All HSAG reviewers (including team leads and clinical reviewers) will follow HSAG’s reporting procedure to ensure reportable incidents are reported timely and alerts to DBHDS and/or Licensing are completed per the procedure.	
189. If Yes, type of Concern	<input type="checkbox"/> Clinical review needed <input type="checkbox"/> HSW concern	<p>Clinical review needed: the reviewer has identified the need for assistance in reviewing clinical information.</p> <p>HSW concern: the reviewer has identified a Health, Safety, or Welfare concern that must be reported to DBHDS and/or Licensing.</p>	<p>If there is an HSW concern, the team lead will review and follow the HSAG Reporting procedure to ensure the capture of information and timely reporting to DBHDS and/or Licensing.</p> <p>This element will only be completed if there is a concern that needs follow-up.</p>	
190. Summary of Clinical Review Concerns	Text field	This section is provided for reviewers to document any questions or concerns that:	Reviewers must identify the element that is being reported as a concern. For instance, the reviewer may identify a concern during an interview with the individual. The reviewer should document here	

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Need to be addressed by a clinical lead Need to be referred to DBDHS for follow-up 	<p>that the concern was identified during the interview, describe which element/question the concern related to, and describe the concern. If at any time there is evidence of abuse, neglect, exploitation, and/or restriction of rights without indication that the required review has been completed and report to CPS/APS as applicable has been completed, the reviewer will alert the team lead so that notification to DBHDS and appropriate reporting can be completed.</p> <p>This element will only be completed if there is a concern that needs follow-up.</p>	
191. Summary of HSW	Text field	Reviewer to utilize to document any other notes if additional space is needed.	This element will only be completed if there is a concern that needs follow-up.	
192. HSW Lead Response				
193. Clinical Reviewer Response	Text field	The clinical reviewer will provide a response to the concern/request for a review.	<p>This section will only be completed if clinical review assistance is requested.</p> <p>The clinical reviewer will describe the response to the question/concern from the reviewer and any actions required (i.e. reviewed documentation, agree with reviewer's response, no change in scoring recommended and no action needed by provider and/or SC; OR reviewed concern, disagree with reviewer's response and provided feedback to reviewer for change of response; OR reviewed concern, action needed by provider and/or SC [describe action needed], alert documented and referred to provider and/or SC and/or DBHDS on mm/dd/yy at hh:mm).</p>	
194. Clinical Reviewer Notes	Text field	The clinical reviewer can use this section to document	This section will only be completed if clinical review assistance is requested.	

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		additional notes regarding his/her review, including documenting the information/records reviewed, individuals and/or staff that contributed to the clinical reviewer's review, etc.		
195. Clinical reviewer name and credentials	Text field	The clinical reviewer will enter his/her name and credentials.	This section will only be completed if clinical review assistance is requested.	
SDM/FAMILY TAB				
SDM/Family Member Information				
196. Can the SDM or family member participate in the interview process?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Yes: The SDM or family member can participate in the interview process. No: The SDM OR family member cannot participate in the interview process.		N
197. If No, document the reason	<input type="checkbox"/> Unable to contact <input type="checkbox"/> SDM/Family Declined <input type="checkbox"/> No Family Involved <input type="checkbox"/> Individual prefers family not to be interviewed	If the preceding element is answered, " No " the reviewer will document the reason that the SDM or family member cannot participate in the interview process.	This element will only be completed if the preceding element is No .	N
198. Date of interview	mm/dd/yyyy	The reviewer will enter the date that the interview was conducted.		N
199. How was the interview completed?	<input type="checkbox"/> Virtually via webinar <input type="checkbox"/> Telephonically <input type="checkbox"/> In-person	The reviewer will select the method in which the review was completed.		N
200. Interview completed with	<input type="checkbox"/> Legal guardian <input type="checkbox"/> Authorized rep	The reviewer will select all participants interviewed.		N

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Family member <input type="checkbox"/> SDM			
201. Interviewee contact information	Text field	The reviewer will enter contact information for the interviewee (i.e., name, phone number, email address, etc.).		N
SDM/Family Member Interview				
202. Did the SC provide the individual with a choice in service providers, including a choice in SC?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Sure	<p>Yes: The SDM and/or family member validated that the individual was provided a choice in service providers.</p> <p>No: The SDM and/or family member did not validate that the individual was provided a choice in service providers.</p> <p>Not Sure: The SDM and/or family member is not sure or responds that they do not know if it was discussed.</p>	Typically discussed during the annual meeting and should be documented on the VA Informed Choice form.	Y SC ISP implement
203. Did the SC discuss employment goals and options with the individual?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Sure	<p>Yes: The SDM and/or family member validated that the SC discussed employment goals and options.</p> <p>No: The SDM and/or family member did not validate that the SC discussed employment goals and options.</p>	It is the expectation the employment is discussed at least annually—typically at the annual meeting.	Y SC ISP implement

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		Not Sure: The SDM and/or family member is not sure or responds that they do not know if it was discussed.		
204. Did the SC discuss community involvement opportunities with the individual?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Sure	Yes: The SDM and/or family member validated that the SC discussed community involvement opportunities. No: The SDM and/or family member did not validate that the SC discussed community involvement opportunities. Not Sure: The SDM and/or family member is not sure or responds that they do not know if it was discussed.	This may include community coaching, community engagement, or other activities that occur in the community that are not service-based. This could be a discussion with the SC about different events that the individual may be interested in that occur in the community.	Y SC ISP implement
205. Are all of the individual's needs and supports currently being met?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Sure	Yes: The SDM and/or family member did not report the individual has needs or supports that are unmet. No: The SDM and/or family member reported the individual has needs or supports that are unmet. Not Sure: The SDM and/or family member is not sure if the individual has unmet needs or supports.	The reviewer will ask the SDM and/or family member if they believe the individual's needs and supports are currently being met and score based on their response.	Y SC follow through
206. If No, describe	Text field	The reviewer will document any needs or supports that	Include why they think the need is not being met if they share that information as well as any follow-	

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		are not being met as reported by the SDM and/or family member.	up, they have been doing on their own to address the need or fill the gap.	
207. Did you have an opportunity to participate in the ISP development?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Sure	<p>Yes: The SDM and/or family member reported that he/she had an opportunity to participate in the ISP development.</p> <p>No: The SDM and/or family member reported that he/she did not have an opportunity to participate in the ISP development.</p> <p>Not Sure: The SDM and/or family member was not sure if he/she had an opportunity to participate in the ISP development.</p>	Were you invited to participate in the annual meeting? Did you provide feedback prior to the meeting if you were unable to attend? Did you complete any forms and return them prior to the meeting to ensure your feedback was included in the meeting?	Y SC ISP implement
208. Do you feel the ISP is representative of the person's needs?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Sure	<p>Yes: The SDM and/or family member reported that he/she felt the ISP is representative of the individual's needs.</p> <p>No: The SDM and/or family member reported that he/she did not feel the ISP is representative of the individual's needs.</p> <p>Not Sure: The SDM and/or family member was not sure</p>	Does the individual want to work on what is included in their ISP? Do you read their ISP and can tell that it is the person's? Do you feel that there is something missing from the ISP that is Important to ensure their needs are being met?	Y SC ISP implement

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		if the ISP is representative of the individual's needs.		
209. If No, why not?	Text field	The reviewer will document the interviewee's response.	Element only answered if the preceding element is No .	
210. Does the SDM/Family confirm there are no concerns regarding the current service providers?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Yes: The SDM and/or family member reported that there are no concerns No: The SDM and/or family member reported concerns.	This element is intended to capture concerns about the current Support Coordinator or provider of service under review. The person interviewed may express concerns about previous support coordinators, providers not under review, or non-waiver service providers, However, the reviewer should inform SDM and/or family members that these concerns are NOT under the purview of the VA QSR. Reviewer may also confirm awareness that any concerns related to Human Rights may be reported to the LHRC.	Y follow through
211. If No, describe	Text field	The reviewer will document the interviewee's response.	Element only answered if the preceding element is No .	
Case Summary				
212. Is there a concern that needs follow-up?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Yes: There is a concern that requires follow-up No: There are no concerns that require follow-up.	All HSAG reviewers (including team leads and clinical reviewers) will follow HSAG's reporting procedure to ensure reportable incidents are reported timely and alerts to DBHDS and/or Licensing are completed per the procedure. At a minimum, concerns that are documented include any report of actual or alleged abuse, neglect, exploitation, or other critical incident. Reviewers will follow HSAG procedure for reporting incidents.	
213. If yes, the type of Concern	<input type="checkbox"/> Clinical review needed <input type="checkbox"/> HSW concern	Clinical review needed: the reviewer has identified the	If there is an HSW concern, the team lead will review and follow the HSAG Reporting procedure	

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		need for assistance in reviewing clinical information HSW concern: the reviewer has identified a Health, Safety, or Welfare concern that must be reported to DBHDS and/or Licensing	to ensure the capture of information and timely reporting to DBHDS and/or Licensing. This element will only be completed if there is a concern that needs follow-up.	
214. Summary of Clinical Review Concerns	Text field	This section is provided for reviewers to document any questions or concerns that: This needs to be addressed by a clinical lead and/or Needs to be referred to DBDHS for follow-up	Reviewers must identify the element that is being reported as a concern. For instance, the reviewer may identify a concern during an interview with the SDM and/or family member. The reviewer should document here that the concern was identified during the interview, describe which element/question the concern related to, and describe the concern. This element will only be completed if there is a concern that needs follow-up.	
215. Summary of HSW	Text field	Reviewer to utilize to document any other notes if additional space is needed	This element will only be completed if there is a concern that needs follow-up.	
216. HSW Lead Response	Text field	The clinical reviewer will provide a response to the concern/request for a review	This section will only be completed if clinical review assistance is requested. The clinical reviewer will describe the response to the question/concern from the reviewer and any actions required (i.e. reviewed documentation, agree with reviewer's response, no change in scoring recommended and no action needed by provider and/or SC; OR reviewed concern, disagree with reviewer's response and provided feedback to reviewer for change of response; OR reviewed concern, action needed by provider and/or SC [describe action needed], alert documented and	

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
			referred to provider and/or SC and/or DBHDS on mm/dd/yy at hh:mm).	
217. Clinical Reviewer Response	Text field	The clinical reviewer can use this section to document additional notes regarding his/her review, including documenting the information/records reviewed, individuals and/or staff that contributed to the clinical reviewer's review, etc.	This section will only be completed if clinical review assistance is requested.	
218. Clinical Reviewer notes	Text Field			
219. Clinical reviewer name and credentials	Text field	The clinical reviewer will enter his/her name and credentials.	This section will only be completed if clinical review assistance is requested.	
QEP				
220. Is a QEP indicated for the licensed provider?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Yes: Any element in the Provider Tab OR the Individual Tab is scored deficient. No: None of the elements in the Provider Tab OR the Individual Tab are scored deficient.	A QEP is indicated for the licensed provider when any elements in the Provider and/or Individual Interview Tabs are scored deficient, <i>OR if an HSW was submitted for the individual under review that is partially or solely the responsibility of the licensed provider to address and/or resolve.</i>	
221. Provider Deficiencies	<input type="checkbox"/> Health/Safety/Well-Being <input type="checkbox"/> HCBS <input type="checkbox"/> Process <input type="checkbox"/> Training <input type="checkbox"/> Person-centered <input type="checkbox"/> Community Inclusion	Health/Safety/Well-being: <i>elements 78-81; elements 97-99; elements 105, 106, 110, 112, 113, 115; elements 120-127, 132 & 133</i> HCBS: <i>elements 82, 83 & 85; element 131</i>	This element will only open if element 220 is scored Yes . Reviewer will use this guide to assess the numbered elements next to each possible QEP area and select the box if any of the elements listed are scored deficient in the data collection tool.	

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
		<p>Process: element 88; elements 103 & 116</p> <p>Training: elements 91-93; elements 108 & 119</p> <p>Person-centered: elements 100-102; elements 118 & 130</p> <p>Community Inclusion: element 107</p>	<p><i>MOST deficiencies will be indicated by a No score, so reviewers may check the appropriate area once ANY deficiency in that area has been identified.</i></p> <p>This is all or nothing element, so if any of the elements are No, reviewer must select that area.</p>	
222. Individual Service Provision Deficiencies	<input type="checkbox"/> Choice <input type="checkbox"/> HCBS <input type="checkbox"/> Rights <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation <input type="checkbox"/> Community Inclusion	<p>Choice: elements 149-151; elements 158-161; elements 170-173; element 177</p> <p>HCBS: elements 152, 154, 157 & 175</p> <p>Rights: elements 156, 182, & 186</p> <p>Transportation: elements 165 and 166</p> <p>Community Inclusion: elements 184</p>	<p>This element will only open if element 220 is scored Yes.</p> <p>Reviewer will use this guide to assess the numbered elements next to each possible QEP area and select the box if any of the elements listed are scored deficient in the data collection tool.</p> <p><i>MOST deficiencies will be indicated by a No score, so reviewers may check the appropriate area once ANY deficiency in that area has been identified.</i></p> <p>This is an all-or-nothing element, so if any of the elements are No, reviewer must select that area.</p>	
223. Is a QEP indicated for the CSB?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Yes: Any element in the SC Docs Tab or SC Interview Tab is scored deficient.</p> <p>No: None of the elements in the SC Docs Tab or the SC Interview Tab are scored deficient.</p>	<p>A QEP is indicated for the CSB when elements in the SC Docs, SC Interview, Individual Interview and/or SDM/Family Tabs are scored deficient, OR if an HSW was submitted for the individual under review that is partially or solely the responsibility of the Support Coordinator to address and/or resolve.</p>	

**DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL**

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
224. ISP Development Deficiencies	<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative <input type="checkbox"/> ISP Thorough <input type="checkbox"/> Outcomes Developed	Administrative: <i>elements 3-5; element 23</i> ISP Thorough: <i>elements 6-11; elements 13 & 14</i> Outcomes Developed: <i>elements 15-22</i>	<p>This element will only open if element 223 is scored Yes.</p> <p>Reviewer will assess the numbered elements next to each possible QEP area and select the box if any of the elements listed are scored deficient.</p> <p><i>MOST deficiencies will be indicated by a No score, so reviewers may check the appropriate area once ANY deficiency in that area has been identified.</i></p> <p>This is an all-or-nothing element, so if any of the elements are No, reviewer must select that area.</p>	
225. ISP Implementation Deficiencies	<input type="checkbox"/> Choice <input type="checkbox"/> Process <input type="checkbox"/> Change of status	Choice: <i>element 28</i> Process: <i>elements 27, 29 and 32</i> Change of status: <i>elements 35, 36, and 38</i>	<p>This element will only open if element 223 is scored YES.</p> <p>Reviewer will assess the numbered elements next to each possible QEP area and select the box if any of the elements listed are scored deficient.</p> <p><i>MOST deficiencies will be indicated by a No score, so reviewers may check the appropriate area once ANY deficiency in that area has been identified.</i></p> <p>This is all or nothing element, so if any of the elements are No, reviewer must select that area.</p>	
226. Support Coordinator Deficiencies	<input type="checkbox"/> Knowledge <input type="checkbox"/> Follow Through <input type="checkbox"/> ISP Implementation	Knowledge: <i>elements 57 and 61</i> Follow Through: <i>elements 63, 66, 205 & 210</i> ISP Implementation: <i>elements 202-204; elements 207 & 208</i>	<p>This element will only open if the element 223 is scored YES.</p> <p>Reviewer will assess the numbered elements next to each possible QEP area and select the box if any of the elements listed are scored deficient.</p>	

DBHDS
QSR
Round 7 PCR TOOL

PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	
			<p><i>MOST deficiencies will be indicated by a No score, so reviewers may check the appropriate area once ANY deficiency in that area has been identified.</i></p> <p>This is all or nothing element, so if any of the elements are No, reviewer must select that area.</p>	
227. Individual Services and Supports Deficiencies	<input type="checkbox"/> Choice <input type="checkbox"/> HCBS <input type="checkbox"/> Rights <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation <input type="checkbox"/> Community Inclusion	<p>Choice: <i>elements 149-151; elements 158-161; elements 170-173; element 177</i></p> <p>HCBS: <i>elements 152, 154, & 157, 175</i></p> <p>Rights: <i>elements 156, 182, & 186</i></p> <p>Transportation: <i>elements 165 and 166</i></p> <p>Community Inclusion: <i>elements 184 & 185</i></p>	<p>This element will only open if element 223 is scored Yes.</p> <p>Reviewer will use this guide to assess the numbered elements next to each possible QEP area and select the box if any of the elements listed are scored deficient in the data collection tool.</p> <p><i>MOST deficiencies will be indicated by a No score, so reviewers may check the appropriate area once ANY deficiency in that area has been identified.</i></p> <p>This is an all-or-nothing element, so if any of the elements are No, reviewer must select that area.</p>	